## GOX 2023 Program Key

- AC Advanced Characterization Techniques
- BG Bulk Growth
- **DI** Dielectric Interfaces
- EG Epitaxial Growth
- EP Electronic and Photonic Devices, Circuits and Applications
- ET Electronic Transport and Breakdown Phenomena
- **HM** Heterogeneous Material Integration
- **KEY** Keynote Address
- **MD** Material and Device Processing and Fabrication Techniques
- TM Theory, Modeling and Simulation

### Key to Session/Paper Numbers

Sessions sponsored by multiple topics are labeled with all acronyms (e.g. AC+ET+HM), then a dash followed by the first two characters of the day of the week: Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, then a single letter for Morning, Afternoon, Poster, and finally a number indicating the starting time slot for the paper. Example: EG+BG-TuA-3 (Bulk/Epitaxy Session, Tuesday Afternoon, 2:15 pm).

Room /Time	Bansal Atrium	Davis Hall 101
МоМ		KEY-MoM: Keynote Address I AC+TM-MoM: Characterization/Modeling I EG-MoM: Bulk/Epitaxial I
МоА		EP+HM+MD-MoA: Processes/Devices I AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA: Characterization/Modeling II
МоР	Poster Sessions	
TuM		KEY-TuM: Keynote Address II TM-TuM: Characterization/Modeling III AC+MD-TuM: Characterization/Modeling IV
TuA		EG+BG-TuA: Bulk/Epitaxy II MD+AC+EP-TuA: Process/Devices II
ΤuΡ	Poster Sessions	
WeM		KEY-WeM: Keynote Address III EG+BG+MD-WeM: Epitaxial III EP+ET+MD-WeM: Process/Devices III

# Monday Morning, August 14, 2023

	Room Davis Hall 101			
8:30am	Welcome and Opening Remarks	Keynote Address Session KEY-MoM Keynote Address I		
8:45am	INVITED: KEY-MoM-2 Gallium Oxide as a Material for Power Device Applications, <i>Akito Kuramata</i> , Novel Crystal Technology, Inc., Japan	Moderators: Michael Scarpulla, University of Utah, Uttam Singisetti, University of Buffalo, SUNY		
9:00am				
9:15am	<b>AC+TM-MoM-4</b> Electric Field Induced Defect Redistribution at Ni-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Interfaces, <i>Daram Ramdin, H. Huang, S. Dhara, S. Rajan, J. Hwang, L. Brillson,</i> The Ohio State University	Advanced Characterization Techniques Session AC+TM-MoM Characterization/Modeling I		
9:30am	AC+TM-MoM-5 Charge State Transition Levels of Ni in <i>8</i> -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Crystals from Experiment and Theory: Eminently Suitable Candidate for Compensation, <i>Palvan Seyidov</i> , Leibniz-Institut für Kristallzüchtung, Germany; <i>J. Basile Varley</i> , Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; <i>Z. Galazka, T. Chou, A. Popp, K. Irmscher, A. Fiedler</i> , Leibniz-Institut für Kristallzüchtung, Germany	Moderators: Michael Scarpulla, University of Utah, Uttam Singisetti, University of Buffalo, SUNY		
9:45am	AC+TM-MoM-6 Comparative Study of Temperature-Dependent Bandgap Transitions in Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Polymorphs, <i>Benjamin M. Janzen</i> , <i>N. Hajizadeh</i> , <i>M. Meißner</i> , <i>M. Marggraf</i> , <i>C. Hartung</i> , Technical University of Berlin, Germany; <i>Z. Galazka</i> , Leibniz-Institut für Kristallzüchtung, Berlin, Germany; <i>P. Mazzolini</i> , <i>A. Sacchi</i> , <i>R. Fornari</i> , Department of Mathematical, Physical and Computer Sciences, University of Parma, Italy; <i>C. Petersen</i> , <i>H. von Wenckstern</i> , <i>M. Grundmann</i> , Universität Leipzig, Felix-Bloch-Institut für Festkörperphysik, Germany; <i>F. Kluth</i> , <i>M. Feneberg</i> , <i>R. Goldhahn</i> , Otto-von-Guericke- University Magdeburg, Germany; <i>T. Oshima</i> , Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Saga University, Japan; <i>T. Kato</i> , <i>H. Nishinaka</i> , Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Electronics, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Japan; <i>J. Varley</i> , Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; <i>M. Wagner</i> , Paul-Drude-Institut für Festkörperelektronik, Germany			
10:00am	AC+TM-MoM-7 Strain and Composition Dependencies in (Al <sub>x</sub> Ga <sub>1-x</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Alloys, Rafal Korlacki, J. Knudtson, M. Stokey, M. Hilfiker, University of Nebraska-Lincoln; V. Darakchieva, Lund University, Sweden; M. Schubert, University of Nebraska-Lincoln			
10:15am	AC+TM-MoM-8 10 kV Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Schottky Rectifier Operational at 200 °C, <i>Yuan Qin,</i> <i>M. Xiao, M. Potter, Y. Ma,</i> Center of Power Electronics Systems, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University; <i>J. Spencer,</i> Naval Research Laboratory; <i>Z. Du,</i> Ming Hsieh Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Southern California; <i>A. Jacobs,</i> Naval Research Laboratory; <i>K. Sasaki,</i> Novel Crystal Technology Inc., Japan; <i>H. Wang,</i> Ming Hsieh Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Southern California; <i>M. Tadjer,</i> Naval Research Laboratory; <i>Y. Zhang,</i> Center of Power Electronics Systems, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University			
10:30am	BREAK			
10:45am	<b>INVITED: EG-MoM-10</b> Advances in the MOCVD Growth of β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and Related Heterostructures, <i>Andrei Osinsky</i> , Agnitron Technonolgy, Inc.; <i>F. Alema</i> , Agnitron Technology, Inc.	Epitaxial Growth Session EG-MoM Bulk/Epitaxial I		
11:00am		Moderator: Hongping Zhao, Ohio State University		
11:15am	<b>EG-MoM-12</b> MOVPE of (100) $\beta$ -Ga2O3 for Vertical Power Devices - Challenges to Epitaxial Growth Process, <i>Andreas Popp</i> , <i>T. Chou, S. Bin Anooz, R. Grüneberg, V. Thuy, J.</i> <i>Rehm, A. Akhtar, Z. Galazka, P. Seyidov, K. Irmscher,</i> LEIBNIZ-INSTITUT FÜR KRISTALLZÜCHTUNG im Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V, Germany; <i>M. Albrecht,</i> LEIBNIZ- INSTITUT FÜR KRISTALLZÜCHTUNG im Forschungsverbund Berlin e., Germany; <i>A. Fiedler,</i> LEIBNIZ-INSTITUT FÜR KRISTALLZÜCHTUNG im Forschungsverbund Berlin e., Germany			
11:30am	<b>EG-MoM-13</b> MOCVD Epitaxy of (010) $\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> with Fast Growth Rate and the Role of Carbon in Charge Compensation, <i>Lingyu Meng</i> , <i>A. Bhuiyan</i> , <i>D. Yu</i> , <i>H. Zhao</i> , The Ohio State University			
11:45am	<b>EG-MoM-14</b> Controllable Deep Acceptor Doping in MOCVD β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> to Compensate Parasitic Interface Charges, <i>Fikadu Alema</i> , Agnitron Technology; <i>T. Itoh</i> , Materials Department, University of California, Santa Barbara; <i>W. Brand</i> , <i>A. Osinsky</i> , Agnitron Technology: <i>J. Speck</i> , Materials Department University of California, Santa Parbara			
12:00pm	<b>EG-MoM-15</b> Si Accumulation on Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Surfaces, <i>Jon McCandless, C. Gorsak, V. Protasenko, D. Schlom, M. Thompson, H. Xing, H. Nair, D. Jena,</i> Cornell University			

# Monday Afternoon, August 14, 2023

	Room Davis Hall 101	
1:45pm 2:00pm	INVITED: EP+HM+MD-MoA-1 Gallium Oxide – Heterogenous Integration with Diamond for Advanced Device Structures, H. Kim, A. Bhat, A. Nandi, V. Charan, I. Sanyal, A. Mishra, Z. Abdallah, M. Smith, J. Pomeroy, D. Cherns, Martin Kuball, University of Bristol, UK	Electronic and Photonic Devices, Circuits and Applications Session EP+HM+MD-MoA Processes/Devices I Moderator: Yuhao Zhang, Virginia Tech
2:15pm	<b>EP+HM+MD-MoA-3</b> Highly Scaled β-Ga2O3 MOSFET with 5.4 MV/cm Average Breakdown Field and Near 50 GHz fMAX, <i>Chinmoy Nath Saha</i> , <i>A. vaidya</i> , SUNY at Buffalo; <i>A. Bhuiyan</i> , <i>L. Meng</i> , Ohio State University; <i>S. Sharma</i> , SUNY at Buffalo; <i>H. Zhao</i> , Ohio State University; <i>U. Singisetti</i> , SUNY at Buffalo	
2:30pm	<b>EP+HM+MD-MoA-4</b> Demonstration of a β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Lateral Diode Full-Wave Rectifier Monolithic Integrated Circuit, <i>Jeremiah Williams, J. Piel, A. Islam, N.</i> <i>Hendricks, D. Dryden, N. Moser,</i> Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensors Directorate; W. Wang, Wright State University; K. Liddy, M. Ngo, Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensors Directorate; N. Sepelak, KBR Inc.; A. Green, Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensors Directorate	
2:45pm	<b>EP+HM+MD-MoA-5</b> Improved Breakdown Strength of Lateral β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> MOSFETs Using Aerosol-Spray-Printed hBN-BCB Composite Encapsulation, <i>Daniel Dryden</i> , Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensors Directorate; <i>L. Davidson</i> , KBR, Inc.; <i>K. Liddy</i> , <i>J.</i> <i>Williams</i> , <i>T. Pandhi</i> , <i>A. Islam</i> , <i>N. Hendricks</i> , <i>J. Piel</i> , Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensors Directorate; <i>N. Sepelak</i> , KBR, Inc.; <i>D. Walker</i> , <i>Jr.</i> , <i>K. Ledy</i> , Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensors Directorate; <i>T. Asel</i> , <i>S. Mou</i> , Air Force Research Laboratory, Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, USA; <i>F. Ouchen</i> , KBR, Inc.; <i>E. Heckman</i> , <i>A. Green</i> , Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensors Directorate	
3:00pm	<b>EP+HM+MD-MoA-6</b> Wafer-Scale β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Field Effect Transistors with MOCVD- Grown Channel Layers, <i>Carl Peterson</i> , University of California Santa Barbara; <i>F. Alema</i> , Agnitron Technology Incorporated; <i>Z. Ling</i> , <i>A. Bhattacharyya</i> , University of California Santa Barbara; <i>S. Roy</i> , University of California at Santa Barbara; <i>A. Osinsky</i> , Agnitron Technology Incorporated; <i>S. Krishnamoorthy</i> , University of California Santa Barbara	
3:15pm	<b>EP+HM+MD-MoA-7</b> Modelling of Impedance Dispersion in Lateral β-Ga- <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> MOSFETs Due to Parallel Conductive Si-Accumulation Layer, <i>Zequan Chen</i> , <i>A.</i> <i>Mishra, A. Bhat, M. Smith, M. Uren</i> , University of Bristol, UK; <i>S. Kumar, M. Higashiwaki</i> , National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, Japan; <i>M. Kuball</i> , University of Bristol, UK	
3:30pm	BREAK	
3:45pm	INVITED: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9 The Physics of Low Symmetry Semiconductors: Gallium Oxide for the Future of Green Energy as Example, <i>Mathias Schubert, R.</i> <i>Korlacki, M. Stokey, M. Hilfiker,</i> University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA; <i>S. Knight,</i> Linkoping University, Sweden; <i>S. Richter,</i> Lund University, Sweden; <i>A. Ruder,</i> University of Nebraska- Lincoln, USA; <i>A. Papamichael, V. Stanishev,</i> Linkoping University, Sweden; <i>J. Speck,</i> University of California Santa Barbara; <i>V. Darakchieva,</i> Lund University, Sweden	Advanced Characterization Techniques Session AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA Characterization/Modeling II Moderator: Mike Thompson, Cornell University
4:00pm		
4:15pm	<b>AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-11</b> Investigation of Split Vacancy and Interstitial Defects and Ionic Diffusion Mechanisms in $\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> : A Direct Approach via Master Diffusion Equations, <i>Channyung Lee, E. Ertekin</i> , University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign	
4:30pm	AC+DI+HM+TM-MOA-12 Hybrid Metal/low-k/BaTiO <sub>3</sub> / <i>B</i> -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Metal-Insulator- Semiconductor Junctions Enable Electric Field of 6.8 MV/cm, <i>Ashok Dheenan</i> , <i>S. Dhara</i> , Ohio State University; <i>A. Islam, A. Green</i> , Air Force Research Laboratory; <i>S. Rajan</i> , Ohio State University	
4:45pm	<b>AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-13</b> Towards Controlled Transfer of (001) β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> to (0001) 4H-SiC Substrates, <i>Michael Liao</i> , National Research Council Postdoctoral Fellow at the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory; <i>K. Huynh</i> , University of California Los Angeles; <i>J. Lundh</i> , National Research Council Postdoctoral Fellow at the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory; <i>M.</i> <i>Tadjer, K. Hobart</i> , U.S. Naval Research Laboratory; <i>M. Goorsky</i> , University of California Los Angeles	

## Monday Evening, August 14, 2023

#### Advanced Characterization Techniques Room Bansal Atrium - Session AC-MoP Advanced Characterization Techniques Poster Session I 5:15 – 7:15 pm

AC-MoP-1 Photoluminescence Mapping of Gallium Oxide, *Matthew McCluskey*, Washington State University

**AC-MOP-2** Linearly Polarized UV, Blue, and IR Photoluminescence from  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *J. Cooke, M. Lou, Mike Scarpulla*, University of Utah; *A. Bhattacharyya*, University of California, Santa Barbara; *X. Cheng, Y. Wang*, University of Utah; *S. Krishnamoorthy*, University of California, Santa Barbara; *B. Sensale-Rodriguez*, University of Utah

**AC-MOP-3** Non-Uniformity and Hysteresis of Capacitance-Voltage Doping Profiling in B-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *Jian Li*, *A. Charnas, B. Noesges, A. Neal, T. Asel, Y. Kim, S. Mou,* Air Force Research Laboratory, Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, USA

AC-MoP-4 Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (S/TEM) Investigation of  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Defective Layers In Aluminum and Scandium Alloyed  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *Andrew Balog*, The Pennsylvania State University; *A. Chmielewski*, CEMES-CNRS, France; *R. Lavelle*, *L. Miao*, The Pennsylvania State University; *J. Jesenovec*, *B. Dutton*, Washington State University; *C. Lee*, *E. Ertekin*, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign; *J. McCloy*, Washington State University; *N. Alem*, The Pennsylvania State University

#### Bulk Growth Room Bansal Atrium - Session BG-MoP Bulk Growth Poster Session I 5:15 – 7:15 pm

**BG-MOP-1** MOCVD Development for Growth of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Over Large Areas, *Muhammad Ali Johar, A. Feldman, G. Provost, K. Vasudevan,* Structured Materials Industries, Inc; *L. Lyle,* Pennsylvania State University; *L. Porter,* Carnegie Mellon University, USA; *A. Popp,* Leibniz-Institut für Kristallzüchtung (IKZ); *G. Tompa,* Structured Materials Industries, Inc

**BG-MOP-2** Quality Improvement of Sn-doped  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Single Crystal by Optimizing Temperature Gradient Control in Growth Zone, *su-Min Choi, H. Jang, S. Seo, M. Chae, M. Park, Y. Jang,* Department of Advanced Materials Engineering, Dong-Eui University, Republic of Korea; *Y. Moon, Y. Sung, J. Kang,* AXEL, Republic of Korea; *Y. Shin, S. Bae,* Korea Institute of Ceramic Engineering and Technology, Republic of Korea; *W. Lee,* Department of Advanced Materials Engineering, Bong-Eui University, Republic of Korea;

**BG-MOP-4** Various Crystal Planes and their Characteristics obtained from  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Single Crystal Blocks Grown by the Multi-slit Structure of the EFG Method, Y. *MOON*, AXEL, Republic of Korea; *HUIYEON JANG*, Dongeui University, Republic of Korea; Y. *SUNG*, AXEL, Republic of Korea; S. *CHOI*, M. *CHAE*, S. *SEO*, M. *PARK*, Y. *JANG*, W. *LEE*, Dongeui University, Republic of Korea; Y. SHIN, S. BAE, Korea Institute of Ceramic Engineering and Technology, Republic of Korea; T. *LEE*, H. *KIM*, Korea Institute of Industrial Technology, Republic of Korea; J. *KANG*, AXEL, Republic of Korea

**BG-MoP-5** Investigation of Defects in(100) and (001) β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Single Crystal GrownbyEFG Method, *M. Choi*, Korea Institute of Ceramic Engineering and Technology/Pusan National University, Republic of Korea; *Yun-Ji Shin*, Korea Institute of Ceramic Engineering and Technology, Republic of Korea; *W. Jeong, T. Gu, A. Shin, S. Cho*, Korea Institute of Ceramic Engineering and Technology/Pusan National University, Republic of Korea; *Y. Moon, J. Kang*, AXEL, Republic of Korea; *W. Lee*, Dong-Eui University, Republic of Korea; *S. Jeong*, Korea Institute of Ceramic Engineering and Technology, Republic of Korea; *S. Jeong*, Korea Institute of Ceramic Engineering and Technology, Research Center, Japan; *H. Lee*, Pusan National University, Republic of Korea; *S. Bae*, Korea Institute of Ceramic Engineering and Technology, Réunion

#### Dielectric Interfaces Room Bansal Atrium - Session DI-MoP Dielectric Interfaces Poster Session I 5:15 – 7:15 pm

**DI-MoP-1** Dielectric Lifetime Enhancement of in-situ MOCVD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Using Temperature Modulated Deposition, *Saurav Roy*, *A. Bhattacharyya*, *C. Peterson*, *S. Krishnamoorthy*, University of California Santa Barbara

### Electronic and Photonic Devices, Circuits and Applications Room Bansal Atrium - Session EP-MoP

Electronic and Photonic Devices, Circuits and Applications Poster Session I

5:15 – 7:15 pm

**EP-MoP-2** Anisotropy Nature of NiO<sub>x</sub>/ $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>*p*-*n* Heterojunctions on (-201), (001), and (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Substrates, *Dinusha Herath Mudiyanselage*, *D. Wang*, *H. Fu*, Arizona State University

**EP-MoP-3** Ultrathin Films of Amorphous Gallium Oxide for Ultra-Fast Solar-Blind Photodetectors, *Damanpreet Kaur, M. Kumar,* Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, India

#### Epitaxial Growth Room Bansal Atrium - Session EG-MoP Epitaxial Growth Poster Session I 5:15 – 7:15 pm

**EG-MoP-1** A Study of the Critical Thickness for Phase Transition of  $\alpha$ -Gallium Oxide Grown on Sapphire Substrates by MOCVD, *Cheng-Han Lee*, *C. Gorsak*, *H. Nair*, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Cornell University

**EG-MoP-2** Epitaxial Growth of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Films on Mgo Substrate via Mist Chemical Vapor Deposition Method, *Takumi Ikenoue*, Kyoto University, Cronell University, Japan; *Y. Cho, V. Protasenko, C. Savant, B. Cromer,* Cornell University; *M. Miyake, T. Hirato,* Kyoto University, Japan; *M. Thompson, D. Jena, H. Xing,* Cornell University

EG-MoP-3 Fluid Analysis of MIST-CVD Chamber for Uniformity Improvement in Gallium Oxide Epitaxial Growth, *Jungyeop Hong*, Y. Jung, D. Chun, J. Park, N. Joo, T. Kim, Hyundai Motor Company, Republic of Korea

**EG-MoP-6** The Effect of Excess Ga on Electron Transport in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Grown via Plasma Assisted Molecular Beam Epitaxy, *Thaddeus Asel*, B. Noesges, Y. Kim, A. Neal, S. Mou, Air Force Research Laboratory, Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, USA

**EG-MoP-7** Low-Pressure Chemical Vapor Deposition of Ultrawide Bandgap LiGa<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> Thin Films, *Kaitian Zhang*, *L. Meng*, *H. Huang*, The Ohio State University; *J. Sarker*, University of Buffalo, SUNY; *A. Bhuiyan*, The Ohio State University; *B. Mazumder*, University of Buffalo, SUNY; *J. Hwang*, *H. Zhao*, The Ohio State University

EG-MOP-8 Controlling Si Dopant Profiles in n-type 8-Gallium Oxide, Brenton Noesges, Y. Kim, A. Neal, S. Mou, T. Asel, Air Force Research Laboratory, Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, USA

**EG-MOP-9** Silicon-doped β-Ga2O3 Films Grown at 1 μm/h by Suboxide Molecular-Beam Epitaxy, *Kathy Azizie*, *F. Hensling*, *C. Gorsak*, Cornell University; *Y. Kim*, Air Force Research Laboratory; *N. Pieczulewski*, Cornell University; *D. Dryden*, Air Force Research Laboratory; *M. Senevirathna*, *S. Coye*, Clark Atlanta University; *S. Shang*, Penn State University; *J. Steele*, *P. Vogt*, *N. Parker*, *Y. Birkhölzer*, *J. McCandless*, *D. Jena*, *H. Xing*, Cornell University; *Z. Liu*, Penn State University; *M. Williams*, Clark Atlanta University; *A. Green*, Air Force Research Laboratory; *D. Schlom*, Cornell University

**EG-MoP-10** Epitaxial Growth of Metastable Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Polymorphs Using MOCVD and HVPE, *Jingyu Tang*, *M. Moneck*, *M. Weiler*, *K. Jiang*, *R. Davis*, *L. Porter*, Carnegie Mellon University

**EG-MoP-11** Pulsed Laser Deposition of  $\alpha$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on M-Plane Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: Growth Regime, Growth Process and Structural Properties, *Clemens Petersen*, University Leipzig, Felix Bloch Institute for Solid State Physics, Semiconductor Physics Group, Leipzig, Germany; *S. Vogt, H. von Wenckstern, M. Grundmann*, University Leipzig, Felix Bloch Institute for Solid State Physics, Semiconductor Physics Group, Germany

**EG-MoP-4** High-Quality Power Device Grade  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on 4H-SiC via Metal Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition, *I. Sanyal, A. Nandi, Martin Kuball, University* of Bristol, UK

#### Heterogeneous Material Integration Room Bansal Atrium - Session HM-MoP Heterogeneous Material Integration Poster Session I 5:15 – 7:15 pm

**HM-MoP-1** Characterization of Sputtered P-Type Nickel Oxide for  $Ga_2O_3$ Devices, *Joseph Spencer*, Naval Research Laboratory; Y. Ma, B. Wang, M. Xiao, Virginia Tech; A. Jacobs, J. Hajzus, Naval Research Laboratory; A. Mock, Weber State University; T. Anderson, K. Hobart, Naval Research Laboratory; Y. Zhang, Virginia Tech; M. Tadjer, Naval Research Laboratory

## Monday Evening, August 14, 2023

Material and Device Processing and Fabrication Techniques Room Bansal Atrium - Session MD-MoP Material and Device Processing and Fabrication Techniques

Material and Device Processing and Fabrication Techniques Poster Session I

5:15 – 7:15 pm

**MD-MoP-2** Characteristics of n-ITO/Ti/Au Multilayer for Ohmic Contact on β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Epitaxial Layer, *Yusup Jung*, *H. Kim*, *S. Kim*, Powercubesemi Inc., Republic of Korea; *Y. Jung*, *D. Chun*, Hyundai Motor Company, Republic of Korea; *T. Kang*, *S. Kyoung*, Powercubesemi Inc., Republic of Korea

**MD-MoP-3**  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky and Heterojunction Diodes Operating at Temperatures Up to 600°C, *Kingsley Egbo*, *S. Schaefer, W. Callahan, B. Tellekamp, A. Zakutayev*, National Renewable Energy Laboratory

**MD-MoP-4** Structural Properties of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Surfaces Treated by Nitrogen Radical Irradiation, *Kura Nakaoka, S. Taniguchi, T. Kitada, M. Higashiwaki,* Department of Physics and Electronics, Osaka Metropolitan University, Japan

**MD-MoP-6** Process Optimization of Sputtered High-K (Sr,Ba,Ca)Tio<sub>3</sub> for Ga<sub>2</sub>O-<sub>3</sub> Dielectric Layers, *Bennett Cromer, C. Gorsak, W. Zhao, L. Li, H. Nair, J. Hwang, B. Van Dover, D. Jena, G. Xing,* Cornell University

**MD-MoP-7** Electrical Characteristics of MOCVD Grown  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky Diodes on (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Substrates, *Sudipto Saha*, University at Buffalo-SUNY; *L. Meng, D. Yu, A. Bhuiyan*, Ohio State University; *H. Zhao*, ohio State University; *U. Singisetti*, University at Buffalo-SUNY

# Tuesday Morning, August 15, 2023

	Room Davis Hall 101			
8:30am	<b>KEY-TuM-1</b> Welcome and Opening Remarks	Keynote Address Session KEY-TuM Keynote Address II Moderators:		
8:45am	<b>INVITED: KEY-TuM-2</b> Bulk Single Crystals and Physical Properties of $\beta$ -(Al <sub>x</sub> Ga <sub>1-x</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Grown by the Czochralski Method, <i>Zbigniew Galazka</i> , LEIBNIZ-INSTITUT FÜR KRISTALLZÜCHTUNG, Germany	Uttam Singisetti, University of Buffalo, SUNY, Joel Varley, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		
9:00am				
9:15am	<b>INVITED: TM-TuM-4</b> Electron–Phonon Effects and Temperature-Dependence of the Electronic Structure of Monoclinic <i>B</i> -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> from First Principles, <i>Elif Ertekin</i> , <i>C. Lee</i> , University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA; <i>M. Scarpulla</i> , <i>N. Rock</i> , <i>A. Islam</i> , University of Utah	Theory, Modeling and Simulation Session TM-TuM Characterization/Modeling III Moderators:		
9:30am		Uttam Singisetti, University of Buffalo, SUNY, Joel Varley, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		
9:45am	<b>TM-TuM-6</b> Ab-Initio Calculation of Low Field Electron Transport in Disordered Bulk $\beta$ -(Al <sub>x</sub> Ga <sub>1-x</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Semiconductor Alloy, <i>Ankit Sharma</i> , <i>U. Singisetti</i> , University at Buffalo-SUNY			
10:00am	<b>TM-TuM-7</b> Quantitative Modelling of Defect Concentrations in $\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> for Equilibrium, Full Quenching, and Generalized Quenching Scenarios, <i>Khandakar</i> <i>Aaditta Arnab, I. Maxfield</i> , University of Utah; <i>C. Lee, E. Ertekin</i> , University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign; <i>J. Varley</i> , Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; <i>Y. Frodason</i> , University of Oslo, Norway; <i>M. Scarpulla</i> , University of Utah			
10:15am	<b>TM-TuM-8</b> Exploring Gallium Oxide ( $\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ) Drift Layer Design: Theoretical Analysis and Trade-offs, <i>Sundar Isukapati</i> , <i>S. DeBoer, S. Jang</i> , SUNY Polytechnic Institute, Albany; <i>Y. Jung</i> , Hyundai Motor Company, Republic of Korea; <i>W. Sung</i> , SUNY Polytechnic Institute, Albany			
10:30am	BREAK			
10:45am	<b>INVITED: AC+MD-TuM-10</b> Defects in Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> : An Ultra-high Resolution Electron Microscopy Study, <i>Nasim Alem</i> , The Pennsylvania State University; <i>A. Chmielewski</i> , CEMES-CNRS, France	Advanced Characterization Techniques Session AC+MD-TuM Characterization/Modeling IV Moderator:		
11.00am		Baisnakni Mazumder, University of Burfaio, SUNY		
11:15am	<b>AC+MD-TuM-12</b> Sub-oxide Ga to Enhance Growth Rate of β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> by Plasma- assisted Molecular Beam Epitaxy, <i>Zhuoqun Wen</i> , <i>K. Khan, E. Ahmadi</i> , University of Michigan, Ann Arbor			
11:30am	AC+MD-TuM-13 Microscopic-Scale Defect Analysis on Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> through Microscopy, <i>M. Kim</i> , NIST-Gaithersburg, Republic of Korea; <i>A. Winchester, O. Maimon</i> , NIST-Gaithersburg; <i>S. Koo</i> , KwangWoon University, Korea; <i>Q. Li</i> , George Mason University; <i>Sujitra Pookpanratana</i> , NIST-Gaithersburg			
11:45am	AC+MD-TuM-14 Characterization and Processing Improvements for Fabricating and Polishing β-Ga2O3 Substrates, <i>Robert Lavelle</i> , <i>D. Snyder</i> , <i>W. Everson</i> , <i>D. Erdely</i> , <i>L.</i> <i>Lyle</i> , <i>N. Alem</i> , <i>A. Balog</i> , Penn State University; <i>N. Mahadik</i> , <i>M. Liao</i> , Naval Research Laboratory			
12:00pm				

# Tuesday Afternoon, August 15, 2023

	Room Davis Hall 101	
1:45pm 2:00pm	INVITED: EG+BG-TuA-1 Suitable Orientation for Homoepitaxial Growth of Gallium Oxide, <i>Kohei Sasaki, A. Kuramata</i> , Novel Crystal Technology, Inc., Japan	Epitaxial Growth Session EG+BG-TuA Bulk/Epitaxy II Moderator: Sriram Krishnamoorthy, University of California Santa Barbara
2:15pm	<b>EG+BG-TuA-3</b> Pushing the Al composition limit up to 99% in MOCVD β-(Al <sub>x</sub> Ga <sub>1-x</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> films using TMGa as Ga precursor, <i>A F M Anhar Uddin Bhuiyan</i> , <i>L. Meng</i> , <i>H. Huang</i> , <i>J. Hwang</i> , <i>H. Zhao</i> , The Ohio State University	
2:30pm	<b>EG+BG-TuA-4</b> Fast Growth and Characterization of Undoped $\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> on 2-Inch Substrates Using a Horizontal Hot-Wall MOVPE System, <i>Kazutada Ikenaga</i> , Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology / TAIYO NIPPON SANSO CORPORATION, Japan; J. Yoshinaga, P. Guanxi, TAIYO NIPPON SANSO CORPORATION, Japan; H. Tozato, T. Okuyama, K. Goto, Y. Kumagai, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Japan	
2:45pm	<b>INVITED: EG+BG-TuA-5</b> MBE Growth and Properties of Ultra-wide Bandgap Oxide Layers Spanning 5.0 - 9.0 eV Energy Gaps, <i>Debdeep Jena</i> , Cornell University	
3:00pm		
3:15pm	<b>EG+BG-TuA-7</b> Structural Defect Formation and Propagation in Fe-doped Czochralski-grown b-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Boules, <i>Luke Lyle</i> , Pennsylvania State University - Applied Research Lab; <i>R. Lavelle</i> , Penn State University - Applied Research Lab; <i>D. Erdely</i> , Pennsylvania State University - Applied Research Lab; <i>W. Everson</i> , Penn State University - Applied Research Lab; <i>A. Balog</i> , <i>N. Alem</i> , Pennsylvania State University; <i>D. Snyder</i> , Pennsylvania State University - Applied Research Lab	
3:30pm	BREAK	
3:45pm	<b>MD+AC+EP-TuA-9</b> Large Area Trench β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Schottky Barrier Diode with Extreme-K Dielectric Resurf, <i>Saurav Roy</i> , <i>A. Bhattacharyya</i> , University of California Santa Barbara; <i>J. Cooke</i> , University of Utah; <i>C. Peterson</i> , University of California Santa Barbara; <i>B. Rodriguez</i> , University of Utah; <i>S. Krishnamoorthy</i> , University of California Santa Barbara	Material and Device Processing and Fabrication Techniques Session MD+AC+EP-TuA Process/Devices II
4:00pm	<b>MD+AC+EP-TuA-10</b> Fabrication and Characteristics of Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> MOSFET using p- NiO for Normally-off Operation, <i>Daehwan Chun</i> , Y. Jung, J. Park, J. Hong, N. Joo, T. Kim, Hyundai Motor Company, Republic of Korea	Yuhao Zhang, Virginia Tech
4:15pm	<b>MD+AC+EP-TuA-11</b> On the Mg-Diffused Current Blocking Layer for Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Vertical Diffused Barrier Field-Effect-Transistor (VDBFET), <i>Ke Zeng, Z. Bian, S. Chowdhury,</i> Stanford University	
4:30pm	<b>MD+AC+EP-TuA-12</b> Electrical Properties of p-NiO/β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Vertical PN Heterojunction Diode for Power Device Applications, <i>Youngkyun Jung</i> , D. Chun, Hyundai Motor Company, Republic of Korea	
4:45pm	MD+AC+EP-TuA-13 Effects of Oxygen Reactive Ion Etching and Nitrogen Radical Irradiation on Electrical Properties of Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Schottky Barrier Diodes, <i>Shota Sato</i> , <i>K.</i> <i>Eguchi</i> , Department of Physics and Electronics, Osaka Metropolitan University, Japan; <i>Z.</i> <i>Wang</i> , National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, Japan; <i>T. Kitada</i> , <i>M. Higashiwaki</i> , Department of Physics and Electronics, Osaka Metropolitan University, Japan	

## Tuesday Evening, August 15, 2023

#### Advanced Characterization Techniques Room Bansal Atrium - Session AC-TuP Advanced Characterization Techniques Poster Session II 5:15 – 7:15 pm

**AC-TuP-1** Photoluminescence Spectroscopy of Cr<sup>3+</sup> in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (Al<sub>0.1</sub>Ga<sub>0.9</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *Cassandra Remple*, Materials Science & Engineering Program, Washington State University; *L. Barmore*, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Washington State University; *J. Jesenovec*, *J. McCloy*, Institute of Materials Research, Materials Science & Engineering Program, Washington State University; *M. McCluskey*, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Washington State University and Astronomy, Washington State University *M. McCluskey*, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Washington State University

AC-TuP-2 Determining the Effects of Traps on the Effective Mobility of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MOSFETs using the Split C-V Method in Dark and Illumination Conditions and Pulsed I-V, *Ory Maimon*, George Mason University; *N. Moser*, Air Force Research Lab; *D. Chamria*, Colgate University; *K. Liddy*, *A. Green*, *K. Chabak*, Air Force Research Lab; *S. Pookpanratana*, *P. Shrestha*, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST); *Q. Li*, George Mason University

AC-TuP-3 Advanced Characterization Methods for Scale-up and Improvement of  $\beta$ -Ga2O3 Substrates, *Robert Lavelle, D. Snyder, W. Everson, D. Erdely, L. Lyle, A. Balog, N. Alem,* Penn State University

**AC-TuP-4** Vacancies in Electron Irradiated  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Probed with Positrons, *Marc Weber, C. Halverson,* Washington State University; *B. Dutton, C. Remple,* Washington State University, United States Minor Outlying Islands (the); *M. McCluskey,* Washington State University, US, United States Minor Outlying Islands (the); *M. Scarpulla,* University of Utah; *J. McCloy,* Washington State University, United States Minor Outlying Islands (the)

**AC-TuP-5** Artificial Intelligence Assisted Vacancy Detection via 3D Microscopy in Doped and Undoped Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *Prachi Garg, J. Sarker,* Department of Materials Design and Innovation, University at Buffalo; *A. Uddin Bhuiyan, L. Meng,* Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The Ohio State University; *H. Zhao,* Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering & Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The Ohio State University; *K. Reyes, B. Mazumder,* Department of Materials Design and Innovation, University at Buffalo

AC-TuP-6 Silicon Ion Implantation in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: Effect of Temperature on Atomic Damage and Recovery, *Naomi Pieczulewski*, *K. Gann*, Cornell University; *T. Asel*, *B. Noesges*, Air Force Research Laboratory; *K. Heinselman*, National Renewable Energy Laboratory; *M. Thompson*, *D. Muller*, Cornell University

**AC-TuP-8** Kinetics of Compensation in Sn-doped Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> During O<sub>2</sub> Annealing Revealed by FTIR and Modelling, *J. High, H. Yang, N. Rock, Mike Scarpulla, University* of Utah

**AC-TuP-9** Cation Vacancy and Dopant Diffusion in  $\beta$ -Ga2O3, *Nathan David Rock*, *A. Levin*, University of Utah; *A. Bhattacharyya*, University of California Santa Barbara; *H. Yang, B. Eisner*, University of Utah; *S. Krishnamoorthy*, University of California Santa Barbara; *M. Scarpulla*, University of Utah

#### Bulk Growth Room Bansal Atrium - Session BG-TuP Bulk Growth Poster Session II 5:15 – 7:15 pm

**BG-TuP-5** β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Single Crystal Growth by EFG Method using Die with Multi-Slit Structure, *Yeon-Geun Seong*, *Y. Moon*, Axel, Republic of Korea; *H. Jang*, *S. Choi*, *C. Min-Ji*, *S. Seo*, *M. Park*, *Y. Jang*, *W. Lee*, Dongeui University, Republic of Korea; *J. Kang*, Axel, Republic of Korea

#### Electronic and Photonic Devices, Circuits and Applications Room Bansal Atrium - Session EP-TuP Electronic and Photonic Devices, Circuits and Applications Poster Session II 5:15 – 7:15 pm

**EP-TuP-6** Investigating the Properties of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky Diodes on MOCVD-Grown (001) Drift Layer, *Prakash P. Sundaram*, University of Minnesota, USA; *F. Alema, A. Osinsky*, Agnitron Technology; *S. Koester*, University of Minnesota, USA

**EP-TuP-8** Operation of  $\beta$ -Ga2O3 Field-effect Transistors at 650 °C, *James Spencer Lundh*, *H. Masten*, National Research Council Postdoctoral Fellow residing at US Naval Research Laboratory (DC); *F. Alema, A. Osinsky*, Agnitron Technology, Inc.; *A. Jacobs, K. Hobart, T. Anderson, M. Tadjer*, US Naval Research Laboratory

#### Heterogeneous Material Integration Room Bansal Atrium - Session HM-TuP Heterogeneous Material Integration Poster Session II 5:15 – 7:15 pm

**HM-TuP-1** Bond-and-Thin Process for Making Heterogeneous Substrate with a Thin Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Layer om Polycrystalline SiC Substrate, *Alex Usenko, A. Caruso,* University of Missouri-Kansas City; *S. Bellinger,* Semiconductor Power Technologies

HM-TuP-3 Design of 10 kV P-Diamond/I-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/N-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Power PN Diodes, *Hunter Ellis, K. Fu,* Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Utah

HM-TuP-5 Heterogeneous Material Integration, Yash Mirchandani, Syrnatec

**HM-TuP-6** Si/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and GaAsP/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> P-N Diodes via Semiconductor Grafting, J. Zhou, D. Kim, H. Jang, Q. Lin, **Jiarui Gong**, University of Wisconsin - Madison; F. Alema, A. Osinsky, Agnitron Technology Inc.; K. Chabak, G. Jessen, Air Force Research Laboratory; S. Pasayat, University of Wisconsin - Madison; C. Cheung, V. Gambin, Northrop Grumann; C. Gupta, Z. Ma, University of Wisconsin - Madison

#### Material and Device Processing and Fabrication Techniques Room Bansal Atrium - Session MD-TuP

#### Material and Device Processing and Fabrication Techniques Poster Session II

5:15 - 7:15 pm

**MD-TuP-1** Growth of Room Temperature Polycrystalline  $\beta$ -Gallium Oxide Thin Film, *Damanpreet Kaur, M. Kumar,* Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, India

**MD-TuP-2** Performance and Traps of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky Barrier Diodes with Mesa Structure, *Min-Yeong Kim*, NIST-Gaithersburg, Republic of Korea; *O. Maimon*, NIST-Gaithersburg; *N. Hendricks*, *N. Moser*, Air Force Research Laboratory, USA; *S. Pookpanratana*, NIST-Gaithersburg; *S. Koo*, KwangWoon University, Korea; *Q. Li*, George Mason University

**MD-TuP-4** Evolution of Lattice Distortions Throughout Various Stages of (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Substrate Preparation, *Michael Liao*, National Research Council Postdoctoral at the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory; *N. Mahadik*, Naval Research Laboratory; *R. Lavelle, D. Snyder, W. Everson, D. Erdely, L. Lyle, N. Alem, A. Balog*, Penn State University; *T. Anderson*, Naval Research Laboratory

**MD-TuP-5** Investigation of In-Plane Anisotropy of In-situ Ga etching on (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, **Abishek Katta**, Arizona State University; *F. Alema, W. Brand, A. Osinsky*, Agnitron Technologies; *N. Kalarickal*, School of Electrical, Computer and Energy Engineering, Arizona State University

**MD-TuP-6** Understanding Ohmic Contacts to N+ Doped (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by Both In-Situ MOCVD Doping and Silicon Ion Implantation, *Kathleen Smith*, *K. Gann, C. Gorsak, N. Pieczulewski, H. Nair, M. Thompson, D. Jena, H. Xing*, Cornell University

**MD-TuP-7** Heteroepitaxial Growth of ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> by Post-Deposition Annealing of ZnO on Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Substrate, *Stefan Kosanovic*, *K. Sun*, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor; *U. Mishra*, University of California Santa Barbara; *E. Ahmadi*, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

**MD-TuP-8** Revitalizing Fractured  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanomembranes: Nanogap Recovery for Enhanced Charge Transport Performance, *M. Hasan, J. Lai, Jung-Hun Seo*, University at Buffalo

**MD-TuP-9** Impact of Magnetron Sputtered Ultra-Thin Layer of Fe-Doped  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on Gallium Oxide Schottky Contacts, *Adetayo Adedeji*, Elizabeth City State University; *J. Merrett*, Air Force Research Laboratory, Aerospace Systems Directorate; *J. Lawson, C. Ebbing*, University of Dayton Research Institute

**MD-TuP-10** An Investigation of (001)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Etching via Heated H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, *steve Rebollo, T. Itoh, S. Krishnamoorthy, J. Speck*, University of California, Santa Barbara

MD-TuP-11 An Organic, Direct Bonded Copper, Multi-Layered, Ultra-Low Inductance Package for High-Power UWBG MOSFETs, J. Major, J. Calder, S. Zhao, Faisal Khan, National Renewable Energy Laboratory

#### Theory, Modeling and Simulation Room Bansal Atrium - Session TM-TuP Theory, Modeling and Simulation Poster Session 5:15 – 7:15 pm

**TM-TuP-1** Investigation of Oxygen Interstitial Diffusion Pathways in  $\beta$ -Ga2O3, Grace McKnight, C. Lee, E. Ertekin, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

## Tuesday Evening, August 15, 2023

**TM-TuP-2** Optoelectronic Properties of (In,Ga)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> using First Principles Calculations, *E. Welch*, Prairie View A&M University; *P. Borges*, Federal University of Vicosa - Rio Paranaiba, Brazil; *Luisa Scolfaro*, *M. Talukder*, *R. Droopad*, Texas State University

**TM-TuP-3** Modeling of  $\beta$ -(Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> High Electron Mobility Transistor (HEMT) and Current Aperture Vertical Electron Transistor (CAVET), *Dawei Wang*, *D. Herath Mudiyanselage*, *H. Fu*, Arizona State University

**TM-TuP-4** Electronic Band Structure and Excitons in  $LiGa_0_2$  and  $LiGa_5O_8$ , *N. Dadkhah*, Case Western Reserve University; *K. Dabsamut*, Kasetsart University, Thailand; *Walter R. L. Lambrecht*, Case Western Reserve University

**TM-TuP-5** Two–Dimensional Analytical Modeling of the Surface Potential of a Double–Gate Vertical Fin–Shaped Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Power Transistor, *Twisha Titirsha*, *M. Hossain, M. Shuvo, Q. Huang, J. Gahl, S. Islam*, University of Missouri, Columbia

10

# Wednesday Morning, August 16, 2023

	Room Davis Hall 101				
8:30am	KEY-WeM-1 Welcome and Opening Remarks	Keynote Address Session KEY-WeM Keynote Address III			
8:45am	INVITED: KEY-WeM-2 Gallium Oxide Microelectronics for Department of Air Force Applications, <i>Kelson Chabak</i> , Air Force Research Laboratory	Moderators: Hari Nair, Cornell University, Uttam Singisetti, University of Buffalo, SUNY			
9:00am					
9:15am	<b>EG+BG+MD-WeM-4</b> Growth of $\alpha$ -(Al <sub>x</sub> Ga <sub>1-x</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> by Suboxide Molecular-Beam Epitaxy, <i>Jacob Steele</i> , <i>K. Azizie</i> , <i>N. Pieczulewski</i> , <i>J. McCandless</i> , <i>D. Muller</i> , <i>H. Xing</i> , <i>D. Jena</i> , Cornell University; <i>T. Onuma</i> , Kogakuin University, Japan; <i>D. Schlom</i> , Cornell University (USA) and Leibniz-Institut für Kristallzüchtung (Germany)	Epitaxial Growth Session EG+BG+MD-WeM Epitaxial III			
9:30am	<b>EG+BG+MD-WeM-5</b> Structural, Electrical, and Thermal Characterization of CIS- MOCVD β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Epitaxial Buffer Layers, <i>Hannah Masten</i> , Naval Research Laboratory; <i>G. Alvarez</i> , Cornell University; <i>C. Halverson</i> , Washington State University; <i>M. Liao</i> , <i>J. Lundh</i> , Naval Research Laboratory; <i>F. Alema</i> , <i>A. Osinsky</i> , Agnitron Technology; <i>A. Jacobs</i> , Naval Research Laboratory; <i>M. Weber</i> , Washington State University; <i>Z. Tian</i> , Cornell University; <i>K.</i> <i>Hobart</i> , <i>M. Tadjer</i> , Naval Research Laboratory	Moderators: Hari Nair, Cornell University, Uttam Singisetti, University of Buffalo, SUNY			
9:45am	<b>EG+BG+MD-WeM-6</b> Electrical and Optical Properties of Melt-Grown Mn Doped β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , <i>Benjamin Dutton</i> , <i>C. Remple</i> , <i>J. Jesenovec</i> , Washington State University; <i>J. Varley</i> , <i>L. Voss</i> , Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; <i>M. McCluskey</i> , <i>J. McCloy</i> , Washington State University				
10:00am	<b>EG+BG+MD-WeM-7</b> Mg and Zn Counter doping of Homoepitaxial β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Grown by Molecular Beam Epitaxy, <i>Stephen Schaefer</i> , <i>K. Egbo</i> , <i>S. Harvey</i> , <i>A. Zakutayev</i> , <i>B. Tellekamp</i> , National Renewable Energy Laboratory				
10:15am	<b>EG+BG+MD-WeM-8</b> Optimizing Si Implantation and Annealing in β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , <i>Katie Gann</i> , <i>N. Pieczulewski</i> , Cornell University; <i>T. Asel</i> , Air Force Research Laboratory; <i>C. Gorsak</i> , Cornell University; <i>K. Heinselman</i> , national renewable Energy Laboratory; <i>K. Smith</i> , <i>J. McCandless</i> , Cornell University; <i>B. Noesges</i> , Air Force Research Lab; <i>G. Xing</i> , <i>D. Jena</i> , <i>H. Nair</i> , <i>D. Muller</i> , <i>M. Thompson</i> , Cornell University				
10:30am	BREAK				
10:45am 11:00am	<b>INVITED: EP+ET+MD-WeM-10</b> Recent Progress of Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Power Technology: Large-Area Devices, Packaging, and Applications, <i>Yuhao Zhang</i> , Virginia Tech	Electronic and Photonic Devices, Circuits and Applications Session EP+ET+MD-WeM Process/Devices III Moderator: Marko Tadjer, Naval Research Laboratory			
11:15am	<b>EP+ET+MD-WeM-12</b> Forward and Reverse Current Transport of (001) $\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Schottky Barrier Diodes and TiO <sub>2</sub> / $\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Heterojunction Diodes with Various Schottky Metals, <i>Nolan Hendricks</i> , AFRL, UCSB; <i>E. Farzana</i> , UCSB; <i>A. Islam, D. Dryden, J.</i> <i>Williams</i> , Air Force Research Lab; <i>J. Speck</i> , UCSB; <i>A. Green</i> , Air Force Research Lab				
11:30am	<b>EP+ET+MD-WeM-13</b> Vertical β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Diodes with PtO <sub>x</sub> /Interlayer Pt Schottky Contact and High Permittivity Dielectric Field Plate for Low Loss and High Breakdown Voltage, <i>Esmat Farzana</i> , <i>S. Roy, S. Krishnamoorthy, J. Speck</i> , University of California Santa Barbara				
11:45am	<b>EP+ET+MD-WeM-14</b> Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub> /β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Heterojunction Diodes with NiO Guard Ring Simultaneously Increasing Breakdown Voltage and Reducing Turn-on Voltage, J. Williams, N. Hendricks, Air Force Research Lab; <b>Weisong Wang</b> , Wright State University; A. Adams, Apex Micro Devices; J. Piel, D. Dryden, K. Liddy, Air Force Research Lab; N. Sepelak, KBR Inc.; B. Morell, Cornell University; A. Miesle, University of Dayton; A. Islam, A. Green, Air Force Research Lab				
12:00pm	<b>EP+ET+MD-WeM-15</b> Fabrication of Self Aligned β-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Junction Barrier Schottky Diodes with NiO Field Termination, <i>Joseph Spencer</i> , Naval Research Laboratory; <i>B. Wang, M. Xiao,</i> Virginia Tech; <i>A. Jacobs, T. Anderson, K. Hobart,</i> Naval Research Laboratory; <i>Y. Zhang,</i> Virginia Tech; <i>M. Tadjer,</i> Naval Research Laboratory				
12:15pm	<b>EP+ET+MD-WeM-16</b> Ni/BaTiO <sub>3</sub> /β-Ga2O3 Solar-Blind UV Photodetectors with Deep Etch Edge Termination, <i>Nathan Wriedt</i> , S. Rajan, Ohio State University				
12:30pm	Best Paper Awards, e-Surveys, and Closing Remarks				

#### **Author Index**

### -A -

Abdallah, Z.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4 Adams, A.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11 Adedeji, A.: MD-TuP-9, 10 Ahmadi, E.: AC+MD-TuM-15, 7; MD-TuP-7,10 Akhtar, A.: EG-MoM-12, 3 Alam, M.: HM-TuP-4, 9 Albrecht, M.: EG-MoM-12, 3 Alem, N.: AC+MD-TuM-10, 7; AC+MD-TuM-14, 7; AC-MoP-4, 5; AC-TuP-3, 9; EG+BG-TuA-7, 8; MD-TuP-4, 10 Alema, F.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11; EG-MoM-10, 3; EG-MoM-14, 3; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 4; EP-TuP-6, 9; EP-TuP-8, 9; HM-TuP-6, 9; MD-TuP-5, 10 Alvarez, G.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11 Anders, A.: EG-MoP-5, 5 Anderson, T.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 11; EP-TuP-8, 9; HM-MoP-1, 6; MD-TuP-4, 10 Arnab, K.: TM-TuM-7, 7 Asel, T.: AC-MoP-3, 5; AC-TuP-6, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; EG-MoP-6, 5; EG-MoP-8, 5; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Azizie, K .: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 11; EG-MoP-9, 5

-B-Bae, S.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-MoP-5, 5 BAE, S.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Balog, A.: AC+MD-TuM-14, 7; AC-MoP-4, 5; AC-TuP-3, 9; EG+BG-TuA-7, 8; MD-TuP-4, 10 Barmore, L.: AC-TuP-1, 9 Basile Varley, J.: AC+TM-MoM-5, 3 Bauer, J.: EG-MoP-5, 5 Bellinger, S.: HM-TuP-1, 9 Berg, M.: EP-TuP-7, 9 Bhat, A.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 4 Bhattacharyya, A.: AC-MoP-2, 5; DI-MoP-1, 5; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 4; MD+AC+EP-TuA-9, 8 Bhuiyan, A.: EG+BG-TuA-3, 8; EG-MoM-13, 3; EG-MoP-7, 5; EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 4; MD-MoP-7, 6 Bian, Z.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-11, 8 Bin Anooz, S.: EG-MoM-12, 3 Birkhölzer, Y.: EG-MoP-9, 5 Borges, P.: TM-TuP-2, 10 Brand, W.: EG-MoM-14, 3; MD-TuP-5, 10 Brillson, L.: AC+MD-TuM-12, 7; AC+TM-MoM-4, 3 Bundesmann, C.: EG-MoP-5, 5 Butanovs, E.: EP-TuP-7, 9

— C –

Callahan, W.: MD-MoP-3, 6 Caruso, A.: HM-TuP-1, 9 Chabak, K.: AC-TuP-2, 9; HM-TuP-6, 9; KEY-WeM-2, **11** Chae, C.: AC+MD-TuM-12, 7 Chae, M.: BG-MoP-2, 5 CHAE, M.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Author Index

#### Bold page numbers indicate presenter

Chamria, D.: AC-TuP-2, 9 Chandroth, S.: HM-TuP-2, 9 Charan, V.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4 Charnas, A.: AC-MoP-3, 5 Chen, Z.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 4 Cheng, X.: AC-MoP-2, 5 Cherns, D.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4 Cheung, C.: HM-TuP-6, 9 Chmielewski, A.: AC+MD-TuM-10, 7; AC-MoP-4, 5 Cho, S.: BG-MoP-5, 5 Cho, Y.: EG-MoP-2, 5 Choi, J.: BG-MoP-3, 5; EP-MoP-1, 5; EP-TuP-1, 9; EP-TuP-2, 9; EP-TuP-3, 9 Choi, M.: BG-MoP-5, 5 Choi, S.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-TuP-5, 9 CHOI, S.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Chou, T.: AC+TM-MoM-5, 3; EG-MoM-12, 3 Chowdhury, S.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-11, 8 Chun, D.: EG-MoP-3, 5; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10, 8; MD+AC+EP-TuA-12, 8; MD-MoP-1, 6; MD-MoP-2, 6 Cooke, J.: AC-MoP-2, 5; MD+AC+EP-TuA-9,8 Coye, S.: EG-MoP-9, 5 Cromer, B.: EG-MoP-2, 5; MD-MoP-6, 6

Dabsamut, K.: TM-TuP-4, 10 Dadkhah, N.: TM-TuP-4, 10 Darakchieva, V .: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4; AC+TM-MoM-7, 3 Davidson, L.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Davis, R.: EG-MoP-10, 5 DeBoer, S.: TM-TuM-8, 7 Dhara, S.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-12, 4; AC+MD-TuM-12, 7; AC+TM-MoM-4, 3 Dheenan, A.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-12, 4; AC+MD-TuM-12, 7 Droopad, R.: TM-TuP-2, 10 Dryden, D.: EG-MoP-9, 5; EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Du, Z.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3 Dutton, B.: AC-MoP-4, 5; AC-TuP-4, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-6, 11

-D-

Ebbing, C.: MD-TuP-9, 10 Egbo, K.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 11; MD-MoP-3, **6** Eguchi, K.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-13, 8 Ellis, H.: HM-TuP-3, **9** Erdely, D.: AC+MD-TuM-14, 7; AC-TuP-3, 9; EG+BG-TuA-7, 8; MD-TuP-4, 10 Ertekin, E.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-11, 4; AC-MoP-4, 5; TM-TuM-4, **7**; TM-TuM-7, 7; TM-TuP-1, 10 Everson, W.: AC+MD-TuM-14, 7; AC-TuP-3, 9; EG+BG-TuA-7, 8; MD-TuP-4, 10

— E —

### — F —

Farzana, E.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-13, **11** Feldman, A.: BG-MoP-1, 5 Feneberg, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Fiedler, A.: AC+TM-MoM-5, 3; EG-MoM-12, 3 Fornari, R.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Frodason, Y.: TM-TuM-7, 7 Fu, H.: EP-MoP-2, 5; TM-TuP-3, 10 Fu, K.: HM-TuP-3, 9

— G —

Gahl, J.: TM-TuP-5, 10 Galazka, Z.: AC+TM-MoM-5, 3; AC+TM-MoM-6, 3; AC-TuP-7, 9; EG-MoM-12, 3; KEY-TuM-2, 7 Gambin, V.: HM-TuP-6, 9 Gann, K.: AC-TuP-6, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; MD-TuP-6, 10 Garg, P.: AC-TuP-5, 9 Gerlach, J.: EG-MoP-5, 5 Goldhahn, R.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Gong, J.: HM-TuP-6, 9 Goorsky, M.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-13, 4 Gorsak, C.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; EG-MoM-15, 3; EG-MoP-1, 5; EG-MoP-9, 5; MD-MoP-6, 6; MD-TuP-6, 10 Goto, K .: EG+BG-TuA-4, 8 Green, A.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-12, 4; AC-TuP-2, 9; EG-MoP-9, 5; EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Grundmann, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3; EG-MoP-11, 6 Grüneberg, R.: EG-MoM-12, 3 Gu, T.: BG-MoP-5, 5 Guanxi, P.: EG+BG-TuA-4, 8 Gupta, C.: HM-TuP-4, 9; HM-TuP-6, 9

## — H —

Hajizadeh, N.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Hajzus, J.: HM-MoP-1, 6 Hallén, A.: EP-TuP-7, 9 Halverson, C.: AC-TuP-4, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11 Harada, S.: BG-MoP-5, 5 Hartung, C.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Harvey, S.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 11 Hasan, M.: MD-TuP-8, 10 Heckman, E.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Heinselman, K.: AC-TuP-6, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11 Hendricks, N.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4; MD-TuP-2, 10 Hensling, F.: EG-MoP-9, 5 Herath Mudiyanselage, D.: EP-MoP-2, 5; TM-TuP-3, 10 Higashiwaki, M.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 4; MD+AC+EP-TuA-13, 8; MD-MoP-4, 6 High, J.: AC-TuP-8, 9

#### **Author Index**

Hilfiker, M.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4; AC+TM-MoM-7, 3 Hirato, T.: EG-MoP-2, 5 Hobart, K .: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-13, 4; EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 11; EP-TuP-8, 9; HM-MoP-1, 6 Hong, J.: BG-MoP-3, 5; EG-MoP-3, 5; EP-MoP-1, 5; EP-TuP-1, 9; EP-TuP-2, 9; EP-TuP-3, 9; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10, 8 Hossain, M.: TM-TuP-5, 10 Huang, H.: AC+MD-TuM-12, 7; AC+TM-MoM-4, 3; EG+BG-TuA-3, 8; EG-MoP-7, 5 Huang, Q.: TM-TuP-5, 10 Huynh, K.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-13, 4 Hwang, J.: AC+MD-TuM-12, 7; AC+TM-MoM-4, 3; EG+BG-TuA-3, 8; EG-MoP-7, 5; MD-MoP-6, 6

Lkenaga, K.: EG+BG-TuA-4, **8** Ikenoue, T.: EG-MOP-2, **5** Irmscher, K.: AC+TM-MOM-5, 3; EG-MoM-12, 3 Ishiji, K.: BG-MOP-5, 5 Islam, A.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MOA-12, 4; EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4; ET-MOP-2, **5**; TM-TuM-4, 7 Islam, M.: EG-MOP-13, 6 Islam, S.: TM-TuP-5, 10 Isukapati, S.: TM-TuM-8, **7** 

Itoh, T.: EG-MoM-14, 3; MD-TuP-10, 10

- | -Jacobs, A.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3; EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 11; EP-TuP-8, 9; HM-MoP-1, 6 Jang, H.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-TuP-5, 9; HM-TuP-6, 9 JANG, H.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Jang, S.: TM-TuM-8, 7 Jang, Y.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-TuP-5, 9 JANG, Y.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Janzen, B.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Jena, D.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 11; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; EG+BG-TuA-5, 8; EG-MoM-15, 3; EG-MoP-2, 5; EG-MoP-9, 5; MD-MoP-6, 6; MD-TuP-6, 10 Jeong, S.: BG-MoP-5, 5 Jeong, W.: BG-MoP-5, 5 Jesenovec, J.: AC-MoP-4, 5; AC-TuP-1, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-6, 11 Jessen, G.: HM-TuP-6, 9 Jiang, K.: EG-MoP-10, 5 Johar, M.: BG-MoP-1, 5 Joo, N.: EG-MoP-3, 5; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10,8 Jung, Y.: EG-MoP-3, 5; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10, 8; MD+AC+EP-TuA-12, 8; MD-MoP-1, 6; MD-MoP-2, 6; TM-TuM-8, 7

## — K -

Kalarickal, N.: MD-TuP-5, 10 Kang, J.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-MoP-5, 5; BG-TuP-5, 9 KANG, J.: BG-MoP-4, 5

Kang, T.: MD-MoP-1, 6; MD-MoP-2, 6 Kato, T.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Katta, A.: MD-TuP-5, 10 Kaur, D.: EP-MoP-3, 5; MD-TuP-1, 9 Khan, F.: MD-TuP-11, 10 Khan, K.: AC+MD-TuM-15, 7 Khandelwal, V.: EP-TuP-4, 9 Khartsev, S.: EP-TuP-7, 9 Kim, D.: HM-TuP-6, 9 Kim, H.: BG-MoP-3, 5; EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4; EP-MoP-1, 5; EP-TuP-1, 9; EP-TuP-2, 9; EP-TuP-3, 9; MD-MoP-1, 6; MD-MoP-2, 6 KIM, H.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Kim, K.: BG-MoP-3, 5; EP-MoP-1, 5; EP-TuP-1, 9; EP-TuP-2, 9; EP-TuP-3, 9 Kim, M.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 7; MD-TuP-2, 10 Kim, S.: MD-MoP-1, 6; MD-MoP-2, 6 Kim, T.: EG-MoP-3, 5; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10,8 Kim, Y.: AC-MoP-3, 5; EG-MoP-6, 5; EG-MoP-8, 5; EG-MoP-9, 5 Kitada, T.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-13, 8; MD-MoP-4, 6 Kluth, E.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Knight, S.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4 Knudtson, J.: AC+TM-MoM-7, 3 Koester, S.: EP-TuP-6, 9 Koo, S.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 7; MD-TuP-2, 10 Korlacki, R.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4; AC+TM-MoM-7, 3 Kosanovic, S.: MD-TuP-7, 10 Krishnamoorthy, S.: AC-MoP-2, 5; DI-MoP-1, 5; EP+ET+MD-WeM-13, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 4; MD+AC+EP-TuA-9, 8; MD-TuP-10, 10 Kuball, M.: EG-MoP-4, 5; EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 4 Kumagai, Y.: EG+BG-TuA-4, 8 Kumar, A.: EP-TuP-7, 9 Kumar, M.: EP-MoP-3, 5; MD-TuP-1, 9 Kumar, S.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 4 Kuramata, A.: EG+BG-TuA-1, 8; KEY-MoM-2, 3 Kyoung, S.: MD-MoP-1, 6; MD-MoP-2, 6 -L -

Lai, J.: MD-TuP-8, 10 Lambrecht, W.: TM-TuP-4, 10 Lavelle, R.: AC+MD-TuM-14, 7; AC-MoP-4, 5; AC-TuP-3, 9; EG+BG-TuA-7, 8; MD-TuP-4, 10 Lawson, J.: MD-TuP-9, 10 Lee, C.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-11, 4; AC-MoP-4, 5; EG-MoP-1, 5; TM-TuM-4, 7; TM-TuM-7, 7; TM-TuP-1, 10 Lee, H.: BG-MoP-5, 5 LEE, T.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Lee, W.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-MoP-5, 5; BG-TuP-5, 9 LEE, W.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Leedy, K.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Li, J.: AC-MoP-3, 5 Li, L.: MD-MoP-6, 6

Li, Q.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 7; AC-TuP-2, 9; MD-TuP-2, 10 Li, X.: EP-TuP-4, 9; HM-TuP-2, 9 Liao, M.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-13, 4; AC+MD-TuM-14, 7; EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11; MD-TuP-4, 10 Liddy, K.: AC-TuP-2, 9; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Lin, Q.: HM-TuP-4, 9; HM-TuP-6, 9 Ling, Z.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 4 Liu, Z.: EG-MoP-9, 5; HM-TuP-2, 9 Lotnyk, A.: EG-MoP-5, 5 Lou, M.: AC-MoP-2, 5 Lundh, J.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-13, 4; EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11; EP-TuP-8, 9 Lyle, L.: AC+MD-TuM-14, 7; AC-TuP-3, 9; BG-MoP-1, 5; EG+BG-TuA-7, 8; MD-TuP-4,10

## — M —

Ma, Y.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3; HM-MoP-1, 6 Ma, Z.: HM-TuP-4, 9; HM-TuP-6, 9 Mahadik, N.: AC+MD-TuM-14, 7; MD-TuP-4, 10 Maimon, O.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 7; AC-TuP-2, 9; MD-TuP-2, 10 Makkonen, I.: AC-TuP-7, 9 Marggraf, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Masten, H.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11; EP-TuP-8, 9 Maxfield, I.: TM-TuM-7, 7 Mazumder, B.: AC-TuP-5, 9; EG-MoP-7, 5 Mazzolini, P.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 McCandless, J.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 11; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; EG-MoM-15, 3; EG-MoP-9, 5 McCloy, J.: AC-MoP-4, 5; AC-TuP-1, 9; AC-TuP-4, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-6, 11 McCluskey, M.: AC-MoP-1, 5; AC-TuP-1, 9; AC-TuP-4, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-6, 11 McKnight, G.: TM-TuP-1, 10 Meißner, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Meng, L.: AC-TuP-5, 9; EG+BG-TuA-3, 8; EG-MoM-13, 3; EG-MoP-7, 5; EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 4; MD-MoP-7, 6 Merrett, J.: MD-TuP-9, 10 Miao, L.: AC-MoP-4, 5 Miesle, A.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11 Min, J.: DI-TuP-1, 9 Min-Ji, C.: BG-TuP-5, 9 Mirchandani, Y.: HM-TuP-5, 9 Mishra, A.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 4 Mishra, U.: MD-TuP-7, 10 Miyake, M.: EG-MoP-2, 5 Mock, A.: HM-MoP-1, 6 Moneck, M.: EG-MoP-10, 5 Moon, Y.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-MoP-5, 5; BG-TuP-5, 9 MOON, Y.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Morell, B.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11 Moser, N.: AC-TuP-2, 9; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4; MD-TuP-2, 10 Mou, S.: AC-MoP-3, 5; EG-MoP-6, 5; EG-MoP-8, 5; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4

Muller, D.: AC-TuP-6, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 11; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11

— N —

Nair, H.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; EG-MoM-15, 3; EG-MoP-1, 5; MD-MoP-6, 6; MD-TuP-6, 10 Nakaoka, K.: MD-MoP-4, **6** Nandi, A.: EG-MoP-4, 5; EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4 Neal, A.: AC-MoP-3, 5; EG-MoP-6, 5; EG-MoP-8, 5 Ngo, M.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4 Nishinaka, H.: AC+TM-MOM-6, 3 Noesges, B.: AC-MoP-3, 5; AC-TuP-6, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; EG-MoP-6, 5; EG-MOP-8, **5** 

-0-

Okuyama, T.: EG+BG-TuA-4, 8 Onuma, T.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 11 Oshima, T.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Osinsky, A.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11; EG-MoM-10, **3**; EG-MoM-14, 3; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 4; EP-TuP-6, 9; EP-TuP-8, 9; HM-TuP-6, 9; MD-TuP-5, 10 Ouchen, F.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4

— P –

P. Sundaram, P.: EP-TuP-6, 9 Pandhi, T.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Papamichael, A.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9,4 Park, J.: EG-MoP-3, 5; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10,8 Park, M.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-TuP-5, 9 PARK, M.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Park, S.: BG-MoP-3, 5; EP-MoP-1, 5; EP-TuP-1, 9; EP-TuP-2, 9; EP-TuP-3, 9 Park, T.: BG-MoP-3, 5; EP-TuP-2, 9 Parker, N.: EG-MoP-9, 5 Pasayat, S.: HM-TuP-4, 9; HM-TuP-6, 9 Petersen, C.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3; EG-MoP-11, 6 Peterson, C.: DI-MoP-1, 5; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 4; MD+AC+EP-TuA-9, 8 Pieczulewski, N.: AC-TuP-6, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 11; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; EG-MoP-9, 5; MD-TuP-6, 10 Piel, J.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Pomeroy, J.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4 Pookpanratana, S.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 7; AC-TuP-2, 9; MD-TuP-2, 10 Popp, A.: AC+TM-MoM-5, 3; BG-MoP-1, 5; EG-MoM-12, 3 Porter, L.: BG-MoP-1, 5; EG-MoP-10, 5 Potter, M.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3 Protasenko, V.: EG-MoM-15, 3; EG-MoP-2, 5 Provost, G.: BG-MoP-1, 5 Purans, J.: EP-TuP-7, 9

Author Index

-R-

Rajan, S.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-12, 4; AC+MD-TuM-12, 7; AC+TM-MoM-4, 3; EP+ET+MD-WeM-16, 11 Ramdin, D.: AC+MD-TuM-12, 7; AC+TM-MoM-4, 3 Ramvall, P.: EP-TuP-7, 9 Rebollo, S.: MD-TuP-10, 10 Rehm, J.: EG-MoM-12, 3 Remple, C.: AC-TuP-1, 9; AC-TuP-4, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-6, 11 Reyes, K.: AC-TuP-5, 9 Richter, S.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4 Rim, Y.: DI-TuP-1, 9; MD-MoP-5, 6 Rock, N.: AC-TuP-8, 9; AC-TuP-9, 9; ET-MoP-2, 5; TM-TuM-4, 7 Rodriguez, B.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-9, 8 Roy, S.: DI-MoP-1, 5; EP+ET+MD-WeM-13, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 4; MD+AC+EP-TuA-9,8 Ruder, A.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4

-S-

Sacchi, A.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Saha, C.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 4 Saha, S.: MD-MoP-7, 6 Sanyal, I.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4 Sarker, J.: AC-TuP-5, 9; EG-MoP-7, 5 Sasaki, K.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3; EG+BG-TuA-1, 8 Sato, S.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-13, 8 Savant, C.: EG-MoP-2, 5 Scarpulla, M.: AC-MoP-2, 5; AC-TuP-4, 9; AC-TuP-8, 9; ET-MoP-2, 5; TM-TuM-4, 7; TM-TuM-7, 7 Schaefer, S.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 11; MD-MoP-3, 6 Schlom, D.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 11; EG-MoM-15, 3; EG-MoP-9, 5 Schubert, M.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4; AC+TM-MoM-7, 3 Scolfaro, L.: TM-TuP-2, 10 Selim, F.: EG-MoP-13, 6 Senevirathna, M.: EG-MoP-9, 5 Sensale-Rodriguez, B.: AC-MoP-2, 5 Seo, J.: MD-TuP-8, 10 Seo, S.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-TuP-5, 9 SEO, S.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Seong, J.: BG-MoP-3, 5; EP-MoP-1, 5; EP-TuP-1, 9; EP-TuP-2, 9; EP-TuP-3, 9 Seong, Y.: BG-TuP-5, 9 Sepelak, N.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4 Seyidov, P.: AC+TM-MoM-5, 3; EG-MoM-12, 3 Shang, S.: EG-MoP-9, 5 Sharma, A.: TM-TuM-6, 7 Sharma, S.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 4 Sheikhi, M.: HM-TuP-4, 9 Shin, A.: BG-MoP-5, 5 Shin, S.: BG-MoP-3, 5; EP-MoP-1, 5; EP-TuP-1, 9; EP-TuP-2, **9**; EP-TuP-3, 9 Shin, Y.: BG-MoP-2, 5; BG-MoP-5, 5 SHIN, Y.: BG-MoP-4, 5 Shrestha, P.: AC-TuP-2, 9

Shuvo, M.: TM-TuP-5, 10 Singisetti, U.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 4; MD-MoP-7, 6; TM-TuM-6, 7 Smith, K.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; MD-TuP-6, 10 Smith, M.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-1, 4; EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 4 Snyder, D.: AC+MD-TuM-14, 7; AC-TuP-3, 9; EG+BG-TuA-7, 8; MD-TuP-4, 10 Speck, J.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4; EG-MoM-14, 3; EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-13, 11; MD-TuP-10, 10 Spencer, J.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 11; HM-MoP-1, 6 Stanishev, V.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4 Steele, J.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 11; EG-MoP-9, 5 Stokey, M.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-9, 4; AC+TM-MoM-7, 3 Sun, K.: MD-TuP-7, 10 Sung, W.: TM-TuM-8, 7 Sung, Y.: BG-MoP-2, 5 SUNG, Y.: BG-MoP-4, 5 — Т —

Tadjer, M.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-13, 4; AC+TM-MoM-8, 3; EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 11; EP-TuP-8, 9; HM-MoP-1, 6 Talukder, M.: TM-TuP-2, 10 Tang, J.: EG-MoP-10, 5 Tang, X.: HM-TuP-2, 9 Taniguchi, S.: MD-MoP-4, 6 Tellekamp, B.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 11; MD-MoP-3, 6 Thompson, M.: AC-TuP-6, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; EG-MoM-15, 3; EG-MoP-2, 5; MD-TuP-6, 10 Thuy, V.: EG-MoM-12, 3 Tian, Z.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11 Titirsha, T.: TM-TuP-5, 10 Tompa, G.: BG-MoP-1, 5 Tozato, H.: EG+BG-TuA-4, 8

— U — Uberuaga, B.: EG-MoP-13, 6 Uddin Bhuiyan, A.: AC-TuP-5, 9 Unutulmazsoy, Y.: EG-MoP-5, 5 Uren, M.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 4 Usenko, A.: HM-TuP-1, **9** 

-V-

vaidya, A.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 4 Van Dover, B.: MD-MoP-6, 6 Varley, J.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3; EG+BG+MD-WeM-6, 11; TM-TuM-7, 7 Vasudevan, K.: BG-MoP-1, 5 Vogt, P.: EG-MoP-9, 5 Vogt, S.: EG-MoP-11, 6 von Wenckstern, H.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3; EG-MoP-11, 6 Voss, L.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-6, 11

Wagner, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 3 Walker, Jr., D.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4

-W-

Qin, Y.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3

Wang, B.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 11; HM-MoP-1, 6 Wang, D.: EP-MoP-2, 5; TM-TuP-3, 10 Wang, H.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3 Wang, Q.: EP-TuP-7, 9 Wang, W.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 4 Wang, Y.: AC-MoP-2, 5 Wang, Z.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-13, 8 Weber, M.: AC-TuP-4, 9; EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 11 Weiler, M.: EG-MoP-10, 5 Welch, E.: TM-TuP-2, 10 Wen, Z.: AC+MD-TuM-12, 7 Williams, J.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 11; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 11; EP+HM+MD-

MoA-4, **4**; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 4

#### **Author Index**

Williams, M.: EG-MoP-9, 5 Winchester, A.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 7 Wriedt, N.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-16, **11** 

-X-

Xiao, M.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 11; HM-MoP-1, 6 Xie, S.: HM-TuP-4, **9** Xing, G.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 11; MD-MoP-6, 6 Xing, H.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 11; EG-MoM-15, 3; EG-MoP-2, 5; EG-MoP-9, 5; MD-TuP-6, 10

-Y-

Yang, H.: AC-TuP-8, 9 Yoshinaga, J.: EG+BG-TuA-4, 8 Yu, D.: EG-MoM-13, 3; MD-MoP-7, 6 Yuvaraja, S.: EP-TuP-4, **9** 

-Z-

Zakutayev, A.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 11; MD-MoP-3, 6 Zeng, K.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-11, **8** Zhang, K.: EG-MOP-7, **5** Zhang, Y.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 3; EP+ET+MD-WeM-10, **11**; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 11; HM-MOP-1, 6 Zhao, H.: AC-TuP-5, 9; EG+BG-TuA-3, 8; EG-MOM-13, 3; EG-MOP-7, 5; EP+HM+MD-MOA-3, 4; MD-MOP-7, 6 Zhao, W.: MD-MOP-6, 6 Zhelezova, I.: AC-TuP-7, 9 Zhou, J.: HM-TuP-4, 9; HM-TuP-6, **9** 



Figure 1: Characterization of near Ni-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> interfacial region using (a) CLS & (b) STEM. CLS reveals pronounced above bandgap emissions that correlate with a defective  $\gamma$  phase region observed in STEM.





(b) Ni/Au  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> **CL Excitation Depth** 50 nm

Figure 3: (a) HAADF image of nearinterfacial region revealing that the  $\gamma$ phase became thicker after breakdown along with (b) LAADF image revealing that the phase 143 nm below M-S interface is homogenous after breakdown, indicating Ni diffusion back towards the Ni/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> interface as breakdown



Fig. 1: Temperature-dependent UV photoluminescence excitation (PLE) and PL spectroscopy (here shown at 5K for  $\alpha$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>).



Fig. 2: (left) Temperature-resolved photoluminescence excitation spectra of  $Ga_2O_3$  polymorphs illustrated in the temperature range between 5 K and 300 K. Spectra are normalized for each temperature step and vertically offset for better comparison. (right) Bandgap energies as a function of temperature shown for different  $Ga_2O_3$  polymorphs.



Fig. 1. (a) Schematic diagram and (b) top-view SEM image of the RESURF Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBD. (c) The  $N_d$ - $N_a$  depth profile of the Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> epi layers. (d) C-V and  $1/C^2$ -V characteristics of the vertical NiO/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> diode at 25°C and 200°C.



Fig. 2. Simulated E-field contour of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> RESURF SBDs with  $t_{\text{NiO}}$  of (a) 58nm, (b) 75nm, and (c) 97nm, at  $V_{\text{R}}$ =4kV. (d) Simulated current density contour of the 75-nm-RESURF SBD at 2V.



Fig. 3. Reverse I-V characteristics of the SBDs and RESURF SBDs with  $L_{AC}$  of (a) 30 and (b)50µm, both with various  $t_{Ni0}$ . (c) Reverse I-V characteristics of the 75-nm-RESURF SBDs with two  $L_{AC}$  at 25°C and 200°C. (d) BV as a function of the charge imbalance percentage. The hollow symbols show the projected BV of the 75-nm-RESURF SBDs.



Fig. 4. (a) Forward I-V characteristics of the SBDs and RESURF SBDs, both with  $L_{AC}$ =30 and 50 µm. (b) Forward I-V characteristics of the RESURF SBD with  $L_{AC}$ =30µm at temperatures of 25°C to 200°C at a step of 25°C.



Fig. 5. (a) Benchmark of the differential  $R_{on,sp}$  vs. BV for our device and the reported Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> devices with BV > 3kV. (b) The BV vs. max operational temperature benchmark for our device and the reported high-temperature Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> devices with BV > 100V.

### Advances in the MOCVD Growth of $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Related Heterostructures Andrei Osinsky and Fikadu Alema

Agnitron Technology, Inc, Chanhassen, MN 55317, U.S.A

 $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has attracted extensive interest in power electronic applications owing to its large bandgap of ~ 4.9 eV, estimated high breakdown field of ~ 8 MV/cm, and availability of melt grown high quality  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates. The growth of high-quality epitaxial films with low dislocation density and background impurity is critical to realize the projected device performances. Available epitaxial methods to grow  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin films include MBE, HVPE, and MOCVD. But, despite coming late to the field, the MOCVD method has proven to be suitable for producing high-quality epitaxial  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films at a fast growth rate with uniform and controllable doping <sup>1</sup>. The highest purity  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films have been reported from MOCVD with record low-temperature electron mobility exceeding 23,000 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs and low~10<sup>13</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> compensating acceptors <sup>2</sup>. Also, a recent record-breaking result for lateral Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MESFETs with a lateral figure of merit (LFOM) of 355 MW/cm<sup>2</sup> and a breakdown voltage of ~2.5 kV <sup>3</sup>, and a record low specific contact resistance ~10<sup>-7</sup>  $\Omega$ cm<sup>2</sup> <sup>4</sup> were reported based on MOCVD grown epitaxial Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films.

This presentation will discuss recent progress in the growth of high-quality  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin films and related materials using MOCVD. The use of Ga precursors, including triethylgallium (TEGa) and trimethylgallium (TMGa), for the growth of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> will be presented. Their advantages and disadvantages in realizing high-purity, carbon-free, epitaxial Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films will be discussed. Critical process conditions and MOCVD reactor geometries on achieving high purity  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films with high electron mobility and low background carrier concentration, including doping control in this range, will be discussed. This paper will also discuss the MOCVD growth of high Al composition (up to 30%) high quality strained  $\beta$ -(AlGa)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterostructures and superlattices on various orientations of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates. The MOCVD growth of heavily doped (>10<sup>20</sup> 1/cm<sup>3</sup>), highly conductive  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and strained  $\beta$ -(Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterostructures will be presented. We will also present the demonstration of record low resistance Ohmic contacts on heavily Si doped epitaxial  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and strained  $\beta$ -(Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> epilayers with varying Al composition. A recent in-situ non-destructive etching of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in MOCVD followed by a regrowth process will also be discussed.

- [1] F. Alema et al., Journal of Crystal Growth 475 (2017) 77-82.
- [2] G. Seryogin et al., Applied Physics Letters 117 (2020) 262101.
- [3] A. Bhattacharyya et al., IEEE Electron Device Letters 42 (2021) 1272-1275.
- [4] F. Alema et al., EEE Electron Device Letters 43 (2022) 1649-1652.



**Figure 1**. Top view FESEM images of MOCVD grown (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin film with growth rate of 3  $\mu$ m/hr and room temperature mobility of 190 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs: (a) large field of view; and (b) high magnification. (c) The corresponding AFM image of the same  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample (RMS: 1.66 nm).



**Figure 2.** Room temperature electron mobility data of (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> homoepitaxial thin films from this work (GR:  $3\mu$ m/h) as compared to representative data from literature: room temperature electron mobility vs. electron concentration of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown by different growth techniques. The corresponding growth rates are listed.



**Figure 3.** (a) The extracted C, H and Si incorporation concentrations as a function of the TMGa molar flow rate from quantitative SIMS measurements. (b) Measured free electron carrier concentration as a function of the silane molar flow rate for three different sets of samples varying the TMGa molar flow rate/growth rate. The dash-dotted lines indicate the net compensation levels ([C]-[H]) for the three sets of samples grown at different growth rate of MOCVD  $Ga_2O_3$ .



Fig. 1 (a) The average Fe density within the substrate for 8 different samples is shown (blue circles) and the sheet density of the interfacial Si peak is plotted (red triangles) for 8 different samples. The circled samples (sample 2 and 8) are studied further in the following panels. (b) The Si and Fe SIMS profiles are shown for sample 2. (c) and (d) Show the simulated band diagram. Sample 2 is shown in (c) and sample 8 is shown in (d). The Si and Fe densities are such that in sample 2, the conduction band is pulled below the  $E_{f}$ , (c), while in sample 8 the conduction band remains above the  $E_{f}$ .



Fig. 2 (a) An unintentionally doped (UID) sample was grown by molecular beam epitaxy. The sample was removed and exposed to air for a predetermined amounts of time to allow Si to accumulate, and then the sample was returned to the growth chamber where another UID layer was grown to protect the previously exposed surface. This was repeated 8 times. The exposure time is listed above the peak and ranged from 20 minutes to 18 hours. (b) The Si peaks in (a) were integrated to determine a sheet density which is plotted as a function of the exposure time. After ~8 hours the Si sheet density begins to saturate to a value of  $\sim 7 \times 10^{12}/\text{cm}^2$ . (c) and (d) show our efforts to remove the Si. UID layers were again grown, this time by metalorganic vapor-phase epitaxy. All layers within the stack were exposed to air for 2-hours (based on the results in panel (a)). Then the sample was etched in HF (49%). The etch time was varied from 10 minutes to 30 minutes, along with 3 control layers where no etching was performed. (d) shows the sheet density obtained by integrating the peaks shown in (c). After a 15-minute HF etch, the sheet density is reduced by ~ 1 order. Lastly, to understand how quicky Si re-accumulated on the surface, a 30-minute HF etch was performed after the initial 2-hour air exposure. After the HF etch, the sample was left in air for 10 minutes (open triangle with label). After 10-minutes, the Si has fully returned, indicating that the sample must be quickly moved to the growth chamber. Note, there is an additional 1.5 to 2 minutes for each step, as the sample is transferred to the growth chamber and pumped down.

# **Direct Approach to Diffusivity via Master Diffusion Equations**





**Fig. 1**: Schematics of MOSCAPs studied in this work





Fig. 2: Dual-sweep C-V characteristics at 1 MHz of (a) MOSCAPs with  $Al_2O_3$  low-k layers and (b) MOSCAPs with SiO<sub>2</sub> low-k layer



diagram of the sample with 20 nm  $BaTiO_3$  and 20 nm  $Al_2O_3$ 





Hybrid insulator Stack	Flat-band voltage	Net donor density from C-V	Insulator breakdown field under forward bias	Semiconductor breakdown field under reverse bias
20 nm Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /20 nm BaTiO <sub>3</sub>	0.8 V	4.4x10 <sup>18</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>	5.7 MV/cm	<u>6.8 MV/cm</u>
20 nm Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /35 nm BaTiO <sub>3</sub>	2 V	2.4x10 <sup>18</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>	4.7 MV/cm	5.9 MV/cm
24 nm SiO <sub>2</sub> /35 nm BaTiO <sub>3</sub>	7.9 V	5.5x10 <sup>18</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>	2.0 MV/cm	3.6 MV/cm
24 nm SiO <sub>2</sub> /50 nm BaTiO <sub>3</sub>	7.8 V	5.3x10 <sup>18</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>	0.9 MV/cm	3.5 MV/cm

 Table 1: Summary of flat-band voltage, extracted doping density, forward breakdown field in oxide, and reverse breakdown field supported in Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for all four samples



Figure 1(a): Cross section schematic of our fabricated device, (b) FIB cross-section SEM image of a passivated device

Figure 2 (a) Output and (b) transfer curve of a test device



Figure 3 (a) 192 V breakdown voltage recorded for  $L_{GD}$ = 355 nm (b) Eavg vs Lgd benchmarking with other Gallium oxide and GaN HEMT devices

Figure 4: small signal analysis showing 48 GHz fmax



Figure 5: gm measured at 200 ns Showing current collapse for Gate pulse

Figure 6 (a) ft vs Vbr benchmarking of our device, (b) Huang's material figure of merit benchmarking (Ron Qg vs Vbr



**Fig. 1:** Graphic depicting the cross-section of one Anode-Cathode pair



Fig. 3: Rectifier IC microscope image



Fig 4: Rectifier IC test setup



Fig 6: Analysis comparing the effect of contact geometries on  $R_{on,sp}$  and  $V_{bk}$ 



Fig 8: Analysis comparing the effect of  $L_{\text{A-C}}$  on  $R_{\text{on,sp}}$  and  $V_{bk}$ 



**Fig. 2:** (a) C-V test structure response and (b) extracted carrier concentration with respect to depth



Fig 5: Rectifier IC input and output waveforms



Fig 7: Analysis comparing the effect of additional anode-cathode pairs on  $R_{on,sp}$  and  $V_{bk}$ 



**Fig 9:** Forward (a-b) and reverse (c) J-V response of a select diode, with a comparison to published results inset to (c)



Figure 1 a) Schematic drawing of the MOSFET devices under test, showing the as-fabricated device as well as the encapsulant. b) Optical microscope image of a device after aerosol jet spray printing of the BCB encapsulant. Inset: scanning electron micrograph of the device active channel, including dimensions.



Figure 2 Breakdown voltage  $V_{bk}$  and associated average electric field  $E_{crit,avg}$  for devices without encapsulation tested in air and Fluorinert, respectively; devices with BCB encapsulation tested in air and Fluorinert, respectively; and device tested with hBN-loaded BCB encapsulant.



Figure 3 a)  $I_d$ - $V_{ds}$  performance for a MOSFET prior to encapsulation; b) transfer curve  $I_d$ - $V_{gs}$  and transconductance  $G_m$  for the same device before (dotted line) and after (solid) hBN-BCB encapsulation; c) breakdown voltage  $V_{bk}$  of the same device.

- [1] Green et al., APL Materials 2022, doi:10.1063/5.0060327
- [2] Dryden et al., IEEE Electron Device Lett. 2022, doi: 10.1109/LED.2022.3182575
- [3] Sharma et al., IEEE Electron Device Lett. 2022, doi: 10.1109/LED/2022/3218749
- [4] Burdeaux et al., J. Electron. Mat. 1990, doi: 1.1007/BF02662825
- [5] Yao et al., IEEE Trans. Compon., Packag. Manufact. Technol. 2015, doi: 10.1109/TCPMT.2014.2337300
- [6] Li and Cheng, *IEEE Electrical Insulation Magazine* 2020, DOI:10.1109/MEI.2020.9070113





300 Capacitance (nF.cm<sup>-2</sup>) 500 kHz 200 100 0 -20 -10 0 10 Voltage (V)

Fig.1: β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MOSFET device schematic

Fig. 2: MOSFETs on 1in. Synoptics (010) β- Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> wafer

Fig. 3: Wafer-scale MOSCAP CV characterization

5.400E+12

4.800E+12

4.200E+12

3.600E+12

3.000E+12

2.400E+12

1.800E+12 1.200E+12

6.000E+11

0.000



Fig. 4: Transfer Characteristics

Fig. 5: Output Characteristics





Fig. 7: On-current (I<sub>D</sub>) map across the wafer at  $V_{GS} = +10$  V,  $V_{DS} = 15 \text{ V}$  showing uniform current levels across the wafer



Fig. 8: Pinch-off Voltage Map (extracted from transfer curves) across the wafer



#### Reference

- [1]. M.H. Wong; et al., Japanese Journal of Applied Physics 55, no. 12: 1202B (2016)
- [2]. S Rafique; et al., Applied Physics Letters 112, no. 5: 052104 (2018).
- [3]. T. J. Asel; et al.; J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A 38, 043403 (2020).
- [4]. K. Tetzner, et al.; Microelectronics Reliability 114, 113951 (2020)
- [5]. S. Kumar; et al.; Applied Physics Letters 117(19): 193502. (2020)
- [6]. H. Doğan, et al; Physica B: Condensed Matter 457, 48 (2015).
- [7]. A. Turut, er al; Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing 39, 400 (2015).
- [8]. M. Yun, et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 89 [1], 013506 (2006).



Fig. 1. Device schematic. The SIMS profile shows the concentration of Si and Fe in the dash box vertically.



Fig. 3. (a) Gate-to-source capacitance and (b) corresponding equivalent conductance of the test devices at various frequency (1k-1MHz). The discontinuity of the capacitance around -5V is an artifact of the equipment.



Fig. 5. (a) and (b) demonstrate the comparison of experimental results at  $V_G = +5V$ , 0V, and -3V and fit of models. The fitting parameters are listed in Table I.



Fig. 2. The transfer characteristics at  $V_D = 1V$ .



Fig. 4. (a) Schematic of coupling model when measuring gate-source impedance. (b) corresponding equivalent circuit.

Table I.	The values of the elements obtained from the fitting

$V_G(V)$	+5	0	-3	-	
Cideal (F)	2.0E-12	1.9E-12	1.6E-12		
$C_{GP}(F)$	$R_1(\Omega)$	$C_1(F)$	$R_2(\Omega)$	$C_2(F)$	$R_s(\Omega)$
8.6E-12	4.0E7	2.2E-12	7.0E4	1.1E-13	5.5E3



Fig. 1. Schematic of measurement of polarized PL.



Fig. 3. Polarized PL of  $(\overline{2}01)$  bulk Sn-doped  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (010) Sn-doped  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 240 nm excitation wavelength. Insert is of the same data normalized. Excitation and emission polarizations chosen in the data come from the min and max PL intensities.



Fig. 5. Polarized PL of (010) bulk Fe-doped  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 240 nm excitation wavelength. Insert is of the same data normalized. We observe a different spectral shape as the emission is measured along different orientations.



Fig. 2. Polarized PL of  $(\overline{2}01)$  bulk UID  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Sn-doped at  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 240 nm excitation wavelength. Insert is of the same data normalized. Excitation and emission polarizations chosen in the data come from the min and max PL intensities.



Fig. 4. Polarized PL of (010) bulk Sn-doped  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 240 nm excitation wavelength. The PL spectra for excitation polarization along c-axis.

**Abstract Photo.** SMI's tool development for oxide growth. These tools are delivered to several institutes including IKZ, Germany (the world leader in  $Ga_2O_3$  growth), CMU, PSU, ANL, and Parma.





The typical bulk  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> single crystal ribbon grown by EFG method. The growth direction and the principal surface were set to be the [010] direction and the (001) plane for this  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystal, respectively. The ingot's body height and thickness are about 80mm and 4mm, respectively. The growth rate for this ingot is about 15mm/h.





X-ray rocking curve and etched surface of the typical bulk  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> single crystal ribbon grown by EFG method.



The typical bulk Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> single crystal ribbon grown by EFG method.

The growth direction and the principal surface were set to be the [010] direction and the (001) plane for this  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystal, respectively. The ingot's body height and thickness are about 80mm and 10mm, respectively.

The growth rate for this ingot is about 12mm/h.



Figure 1. AFM images and cross-sectional height profile of etch pits observed on the (100) and (001)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface. Etch pit on the (a) (100) plane with a width of ~1 µm and a depth of ~34 nm and (b) (001) plane with a width of ~10 µm and a depth of ~200 nm.



Figure 2. (a) X-ray topography image of (a) the (001)-oriented  $Ga_2O_3$  crystal with g=605, where defects related to (b) dots and (c) curved lines.



Fig. 7: Lifeime projection of the three dielectrics based on E-model

#### Paper EG-MoP-1, Room Bansal Atrium, 5:15 PM



Figure 1: XRD  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  scans for the  $\alpha$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin films with varying thicknesses grown on m-plane sapphire substrates using MOCVD. The heteroepitaxy of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films on m-plane sapphire substrates was performed at a reactor pressure of 15 Torr at a growth temperature of 600°C (and 625°C for the three Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films with thicknesses of 270 nm, 446 nm, and 600 nm). We demonstrated that a single-phase  $\alpha$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin film with thickness 393 nm can be achieved on m-plane sapphire substrates via MOCVD.



Figure 2: XRD rocking curve Full-Width-at Half-Maximum (FWHM) of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin films grown on m-plane sapphire substrate using MOCVD as a function of film thickness. Single-phase  $\alpha$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin films are indicated by the red markers on the plot.

#### Supporting



Figure 1. (a) XRD pattern of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film grown on MgO (001) substrate and (b) expansion of the region corresponding to diffraction from  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (600). The presence of  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (400) would generate a diffraction peak at 20=43.93°, which was not observed.



Figure 2. (a) The  $\omega$ -rocking curve of the  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (600) reflection. The full width at half maximum was 145 arcsec. (b)  $\phi$ -scan profiles of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (002) film and (c) MgO(111) substrate.



Figure 3. AFM image of β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film grown on MgO(001) substrate showing 0.74 nm RMS roughness.


Figure 1. Chamber modeling process for fluid dynamics analysis. (a) Simplified MIST-CVD chamber shape (b) Flow analysis area extraction (c) Hexahedral mesh structure design for simulation



Figure 2. (a) Fluid analysis example of the Horizontal MIST-CVD Chamber (b) Cross-sectional image of the mist Concentration in a 4inch wafer

등급 이상의 정보자산이므로 무단 전재 및

관련 법규에 의해 제재될

있습니다

현대기아자동차의 사내한

04-04 11:29 (UTC+9)/본

7013368 / 10.20.53.154



Ga Beam Flux = 1 × 10<sup>-7</sup> Torr "Gallium Rich" Regime

Figure 1: Transport of a gallium rich film and the fits to quantify defects and donors. A donor at 27 meV shows a concentration of  $4.25 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and an acceptor of  $7.26 \times 10^{16}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>.



Figure 2: Transport of a gallium rich film and the fits to quantify defects and donors. A donor at 38 meV shows a concentration of  $2.6 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and an acceptor of  $4.5 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>.



**Figure 1.** Structural illustrations of (a)  $\beta$ -LiGaO<sub>2</sub> with orthorhombic Pna2<sub>1</sub> crystal structure; and (b) LiGa<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> with spinel cubic P4\_33 $\overline{2}$  crystal structure.



**Figure 2.** (a) Cross-sectional STEM image and (b) atomic resolution HAADF image and diffract pattern of  $LiGa_5O_8$  thin film grown on (001)  $LiGaO_2$  substrate. The interface is marked by a red dash-dotted line.



**Figure 3.** Reconstructed atom map of the  $LiGa_5O_8$  film grown on (001)  $LiGaO_2$  substrate as well as the atomic concentration for Li, Ga and O along the marked direction across the substrate/epi-layer interface. Only the Li atoms are shown in the upper figure with pink dots.



**Figure 1** – a) Schematic of test structure to study impacts of plasma bulbs and Si dopant source during growth interrupts. b) TOF-SIMS depth profile showing Si only accumulates at the sample surface during growth interrupts while the Si cell was hot enough to provide a Si flux while no Si accumulated regardless of exposure time to just the quartz plasma bulb with a 250W O plasma.



FIG. 1. (a) XRD patterns (log scale) for  $\kappa$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown on c-plane sapphire substrates by HVPE and MOCVD (b) Corresponding XRD rocking curves for the (004) symmetric diffraction peaks of  $\kappa$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



FIG. 2. XRD pattern (log scale) for  $\alpha$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown on a-plane sapphire substrate by HVPE



Fig. 2 Showing a schematic of S1 including AFM surface morphology and XRD  $2\theta$ - $\omega$  scan



Fig. 3 Shows epitaxial layer schematic of S2 with AFM surface morphology, XRD  $2\theta$ - $\omega$  scan and FWHM from rocking curve



200 W,	3 mTorr	Paper HM-MoP-1, Roo	m Bansal Atrium, 5:15 PM	
RT		5 mTorr	12.5 mTorr	
2.5% O <sub>2</sub>	Dep rate – 138 nm/hr Hg CV – N/A Resistivity – 9.3 × 10 <sup>3</sup> Ω/sq	Dep rate – 111 nm/hr Hg CV – $4.22 \times 10^{17}$ cm <sup>-3</sup> Resistivity – 9.6 × 10 <sup>3</sup> $\Omega$ /sq	Dep rate – 43 nm/hr Hg CV – $9.28 \times 10^{17}$ cm <sup>-3</sup> Resistivity – 7.9 × 10 <sup>3</sup> $\Omega$ /sq	
5% O <sub>2</sub>	Dep rate – 118 nm/hr	Dep rate – 70 nm/hr	Dep rate – 34 nm/hr	
	Hg CV – N/A	Hg CV – $3.89 \times 10^{17}$ cm <sup>-3</sup>	Hg CV – $1.32 \times 10^{18}$ cm <sup>-3</sup>	
	Resistivity – 9.5 × 10 <sup>3</sup> Ω/sq	Resistivity – 7.0 × $10^3 \Omega$ /sq	Resistivity – $3.1 \times 10^{3}$ $\Omega/sq$	
10% O <sub>2</sub>	Dep rate – 83 nm/hr Hg CV – N/A Resistivity – 1.0 × 104 Ω/sq	Dep rate – 59 nm/hr Hg CV – $1.24 \times 10^{18}$ cm <sup>-3</sup> Resistivity – 8.7 × 10 <sup>3</sup> Ω/sq	Dep rate - 32 nm/hr Hg CV - 2.74 $\times$ 10 <sup>18</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> Resistivity - 6.4 $\times$ 10 <sup>3</sup> $\Omega$ /sq	

**Table 1)** Deposition rate, acceptor concentration, and resistivity of NiO thin films sputtered at various oxygen partial pressure and chamber pressure. The temperature and power were constant.



	Contact Res. (Ω*mm)	Sheet Res. (Ω/sq)	Specific Contact Res. (Ω*cm²)
Ni/Au	1079	$3.8  imes 10^5$	3 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
PtOx/Au	259	$2.3  imes 10^5$	<b>2.8</b> × 10 <sup>-3</sup>

**Table 2)** Data extracted from the linear transmission line measurements of Ni/Au and PtOx/Au contacts to NiO including contact resistance, sheet resistance, and specific contact resistance.

**Fig. 1)** NiO acceptor concentration as a function of depletion width for six various sputtering recipes. Data was extracted from mercury (Hg) probe capacitance-voltage measurements. **Fig. 2)** Resistance as a function of contact spacing for the Ni/Au and PtOx/Au contacts to NiO films.



# Abstract References:

[1] Spencer, J.A., et al. *Applied Physics Reviews*, 9.1 (2022): 011315 2022 [2] Kokubun, Y., et al. *Applied Physics Express* 9.9 (2016): 0911012



Fig 1. Ohmic contact characteristics of n-ITO/Ti/Au Multilayer on Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> epi. Layer as a function of post annealing temperatures



Figure 1: (a) Wide angle x-ray diffraction scan of ~200nm thick NiO thin film grown by pulsed laser deposition on Sn-doped Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(100) substrate, showing a polycrystalline layer with a preferential (100) orientation. (b) Room temperature I-V characteristics of NiO/ $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> *p-n* diode on a 100 µm pad before(red) and after (black) NiO mesa isolation. The mesa etch is effective at reducing current spreading leading to improved leakage current. Inset shows a schematic of the device structure.



Figure 2: High temperature rectification characteristics of (a) NiO/ $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> *p*-*n* diode and (b) Ni/ $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky diode. The reverse leakage current of the *p*-*n* diode increases approximately 2 orders of magnitude from 300 °C to 600 °C, compared to about 5 orders of magnitude for the Schottky diode over the same temperature range.



Fig. 1 AFM images of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (a) (100) and (b) (010) surfaces after 120-min N radical irradiation.



Fig. 2 XPS spectra from Ga 3*d* core levels of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (100) surfaces(a) without and (b) with 120-min N radical irradiation.



Fig. 3 XPS spectra from Ga 3*d* core levels of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (010) surfaces(a) without and (b) with 120-min N radical irradiation.



Table I. Growth conditions of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films on the Sn-doped  $\beta$ -

Fig. 1. Schematic cross-section of the vertical Schottky diode structures



Fig. 2. FESEM images of MOCVD grown  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films on Sn-doped  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates for all the Schottky diodes associated with this study. The figure below also shows that S3 has the smoothest surface than the other two samples.



Fig. 3. (a) Room temperature current density (J) vs. voltage (V) characteristics for S1, S2, and S3 Schottky barrier diodes. (b) Semi-logarithmic J-V characteristics for S1, S2, and S3 Schottky barrier diodes. (c) Room temperature reverse bias capacitance (C)-voltage (V) data for S1, S2, and S3 Schottky barrier diodes.

-					
		Barrier		ON-OFF	Average Doping
Sample	Ideality	Height	R <sub>on,sp</sub>	Ratio	$(cm^{-3})$
No	Factor	(eV)	$(m\Omega.cm^2)$		
<b>C</b> 1	2.17	1 42	17.26	>109	$2.02 imes10^{16}$
51	2.17	1.42	17.50	× 107	1 72 . 1016
<b>S</b> 2	1.73	1.18	1.87	>10*	$1.73 \times 10^{10}$
				$>10^{8}$	$6.08  imes 10^{16}$
S3	1.31	1.0	0.707		



Figure 1. SIMS stack of Si doped  $Ga_2O_3$  by suboxide source with different disilane flow rates. Si concentration of  $3 \times 10^{16}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> to  $1 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> has been achieved.



Figure 2. AFM images of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface morphology growth by different sub-oxide fluxes.



## Microscopic-scale Defect Analysis on Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> through Microscopy

Fig. 1. (a) PEEM image of the carrot defect in  $Ga_2O_3$  epi-layer grown with HVPE. The field of view is 50  $\mu$ m. (b) Normalized photoelectron spectroscopy illuminated by 193 nm light on metal, non-defect and carrot defect.

#### References

[1] Das, H., Sunkari, S., & Naas, H. (2016). Characterization of Leakage Causing Visible Epitaxial Defects Nucleating from Crystal Defects in the Substrate. ECS Transactions, 75(12), 233.

[2] Meneghini, M., Bertin, M., Stocco, A., dal Santo, G., Marcon, D., Malinowski, P. E., ... & Zanoni, E. (2013). Degradation of AlGaN/GaN Schottky diodes on silicon: Role of defects at the AlGaN/GaN interface. Applied Physics Letters, 102(16), 163501.

[3] Benamara, M., Zhang, X., Skowronski, M., Ruterana, P., Nouet, G., Sumakeris, J. J., ... & O'Loughlin, M. J. (2005). Structure of the carrot defect in 4H-SiC epitaxial layers. Applied Physics Letters, 86(2), 021905.

### Supplementary Material



Figure 1: The full phonon dispersion for the 40-atom (120 phonon modes) supercell used to model the alloy disorder with 18% aluminum fraction



Figure 2: The effective phonon dispersion (30 phonon modes corresponding to 10atom primitive cell of GaO)in the  $X - \Gamma$  direction with 18% aluminum fraction



Figure 3: The effective phonon dispersion (30 phonon modes corresponding to 10atom primitive cell of GaO) in the  $\Gamma - N$  direction with 18% aluminum fraction



Figure 4: The comparison of the IR spectrum obtained for the alloy using the Brillouin zone unfolding scheme. Some of the modes that are labeled show a trend of moving to higher energies with increasing aluminum fraction in the AlGaO alloy



Figure 5: The polar optical phonon scattering rate for varying aluminum compositions calculated from first principles



Figure 6: The polar electron-phonon interaction elements showing the  $\frac{1}{|q|}$  dependence in  $\beta - (Al_{0.18}Ga_{0.82})_2O_3$ 



Fig. 1: Ga and O chemical potentials as functions of temperature calculated from thermochemical functions used for Ga-O binary phase diagram for various chemical environments such as:  $pO_2 = 10^{-4}$  atm,  $pO_2 = 1$  atm, and the equilibrium vapor pressure of Ga over liquid Ga. The non-constant values vs T influence the quantitative defect equilibrium.



Fig. 2: Simplified results for full equilibrium calculation including >350 chargestates of various defects and complexes at different equilibrium temperatures, subject to the constraints that  $[Sn_{GaII}] = 2x10^{18} / cm^3$  but all other Sn-containing defects are set to zero. This illustrates the capability to execute calculations incorporating T-dependent  $E_c$  and  $E_v$  (which is primarily responsible for suppressing the  $V_{Ga}$ ), T-dependent chemical potentials, and estimated vibrational entropy, as well as constraints on the concentrations of various defect types.



Fig. 3: Simplified results for quenching calculations from the indicated T to 300 K including >350 chargestates of various defects and complexes, subject to the constraints that  $[Sn_{GaII}] = 2x10^{18} / cm^3$  and all other Sn-containing defects set to zero. This illustrates the capability to execute quenching calculations including certain defects constrained to set values.



Fig. 3: Illustrative, simplified example of calculated freezing temperatures vs radius in a hypothetical Ga2O3 boule for  $O_i$ ,  $G_{a_i}$ , relaxed  $V_{Ga}$ , and  $V_{OIII}$  arbitrarily assigned migration energies of 0.15, 0.2, 0.5, and 0.75 eV as shown.



Figure 1: Ionization rate coefficient of electrons in β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at room temperature [1]



Figure 3: Doping concentration and width of the drift layer dependence on the breakdown voltage in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for NPT (solid) and PT (dotted with varied widths)



Figure 5: Trade-off relationship between the specific on-resistance of the drift layer and breakdown voltage in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> unipolar devices for the NPT (solid) and PT (dotted with varied widths) structures



Figure 2: Critical electric field for avalanche breakdown in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for both NPT(solid) and PT(dotted with varied widths) structures



Figure 4: Ionization ratio of dopant extracted at room temperature in β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with ionization energies reported in [2]



Figure 6: Trade-off relationship between the specific onresistance and breakdown voltage in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for a lateral and vertical MOSFET. The resistance components (channel and drift) associated with the lateral device are represented in color red while the vertical device resistance components (channel, drift, and substrate) are represented in color blue

K. Ghosh and U. Singisetti, "Impact ionization in β-Ga2O3," Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 124, no. 8, p. 085707, 2018, doi:10.1063/1.5034120.
 R. Sharma, M. E. Law, F. Ren, A. Y. Polyakov, and S. J. Pearton, "Diffusion of dopants and impurities in β-Ga2O3," Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A, vol. 39, no. 6, p. 060801, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1116/6.0001307.



**Figure 1**. XRD  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  scan profiles of the (a) (020), (b) (400), and (c) ( $\overline{6}03$ ) reflections of MOCVD  $\beta$ -(Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown on (010), (100) and ( $\overline{2}01$ )  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates with Al compositions up to 29%, 99% and 16%, respectively.



**Figure 2.** Asymmetric reciprocal space maps (RSMs) around (a) (420), (b) (710), and (c) ( $\overline{4}03$ ) reflections of (010), (100) and ( $\overline{2}01$ )  $\beta$ -(Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films with x = 15%, 16%, and 13% respectively.



**Figure 3.** (a) High resolution HAADF-STEM images taken from the  $[010]_m$  zone axis of  $(100)\beta$ -(Al<sub>0.99</sub>Ga<sub>0.01</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film grown on a 65 nm thick (100)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> buffer layer on top of an on-axis (100)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate. (b) High magnification STEM images of the  $\beta$ -(Al<sub>0.99</sub>Ga<sub>0.01</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film. Electron nano-diffraction pattern obtained from the (c)  $\beta$ -(Al<sub>0.99</sub>Ga<sub>0.01</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film and (d) simulation. (e) STEM EDX atomic fraction elemental profile of  $\beta$ -(Al<sub>0.99</sub>Ga<sub>0.01</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film, confirming an average Al composition of ~99% in the epilayer.



**Fig. 1** Schematic diagram of (a) BTO field plated and (b)BTO RESURF trench Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBD, (c) Microscope image of the large area (1mm<sup>2</sup>) SBD.



Fig. 3 IV characteristics of three types of SBDs (small area ~ 200x  $200 \ \mu m^2$ )

0



**Fig. 5** Reverse IV and breakdown characteristics of the small area SBDs.



Fig. 8 Benchmark plots showing  $V_{on}$  vs  $I_{Leakage}$  at breakdown for state-of-the-art  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBDs

Fig. 4 DC and pulsed IV characteristics of (a) 1mm<sup>2</sup> and (b) 4 mm<sup>2</sup> large area SBD.



Fig. 6 Reverse IV and breakdown characteristics large area trench SBDs.



**Fig. 7** Temperature Dependent IV Characteristics. The inset shows temperature dependence of the R<sub>on</sub> and the power law fitting.

**Table I** Summary of the performance parameters of high current (> 1 A)  $\beta$ -

Device Type	Area (mm²)	Current @ (V <sub>on</sub> + 2V) (A)	V <sub>on</sub> (V)	V <sub>BR</sub> (V)	R <sub>on-sp</sub> (mΩ-cm²)	I <sub>Leakage</sub> @V <sub>Br</sub> (A/cm²)
Normal SBD (U Florida) JVST. A 39, 013406 (2021).	115	45	0.7	240		
FP SBD (Virginia Tech) IEEE Trans. Power Electron. 36, 8565 (2021).	9	20	0.8	700	6.75	
NIO JTE SBD (UST China) IEDM. 2022, 210 (2022).	0.78	3	0.9	1300	4.7	0.13
FP JBS (NKL China) IEEE Trans. Power Electron. 36, 6179 (2020).	1	1.7	1	700	7.6	0.005
This Work	1	1 (DC) 2 (Pulsed)	1	~ 1800	~ 10.6	0.001
This Work	4	5 (DC) 9 (Pulsed)	1	~ 1400	~ 10.6	0.002

**Fig. 2** CV and doping profile of the HVPE epitaxial layer from a Pt/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Bare Schottky) test structure.



Fig. 1 Cross-sectional schematics of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MOSFETs

Table	1	Electrical	measurement results	for	each str	ucture
raute.	1	Licculcal	incasurement results	101	cach su	ucture

	Structure A	Structure B	Structure C
Threshold Voltage	-50V	3V	30V ↑
Breakdown Voltage	201V (@Vgs -50V)	481V (@Vgs 0V)	613V (@Vgs 0V)



Fig. 2 Electrical measurement results



Fig. 3 Fabricated Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MOSFET and cross section analysis result



Fig. 4 I<sub>DS</sub> - V<sub>DS</sub> curve



Fig. 1. Fabrication process flow of the  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> VDBFET. (a) shows the patterned Mg-SOG diffusion doping process; (b) shows the schematic after the source Si<sup>+</sup> ion implantation; (c) shows the cross-section schematic of the final fabricated device.



**Fig. 2.** (a) The metal-isolation-semiconductor (MIS) test structure used for CV analysis shown in (b) and the IV analysis shown in (c).



**Fig. 3.** Representative (a) output IV characteristic, transfer characteristic in (b) linear, (c) log scale and the breakdown characteristic of the fabricated Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> VDBFET.



Fig.1. Schematics of vertical pn heterojunction diode



Fig.2. Vertical pn heterojunction diode fabrication process



Fig.3(a) The J-V curve of pn diode as a function of carrier concentration

Fig.3(b) The Breakdown voltage of pn diode as a function of carrier concentration



Figure 1 Cross-sectional schematic of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBD structures.



Figure 2 Forward J-V characteristics of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (100) SBDs fabricated on four different treated substrates: (a) no surface treatment, (b) O<sub>2</sub> RIE, (c) N radical irradiation, (d) O<sub>2</sub> RIE followed by N radical irradiation.



Figure 1: a) Implant conditions of room temperature control sample at Si concentration 5x10<sup>19</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>. b) HAADF STEM image of control sample showing region of visible damage from Si implantation. c) HAADF-STEM image of control sample after annealing at 950 °C for 20 minutes under high purity nitrogen showing full recovery of lattice with no visible damage remaining.



Figure 2: HAADF-STEM image of Si implanted  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> viewed along the [001] direction for various implant concentrations. a) Room temperature box to  $5\times10^{19}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>. b) Room temperature implant to  $1\times10^{20}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>. c) Implant at 77K to  $5\times10^{19}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>. a) Heated implant at 600 °C to  $1\times10^{20}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>. a)-c) shows mixture of  $\beta$  phase and  $\gamma$  phase. The  $\beta$  phase projection is overlayed in orange,  $\gamma$  phase in yellow, and overlapping  $\gamma$  phase sheets in blue. All images taken within the first 50 nm from sample surface, except d) taken within the first 100nm.



Measured (thick) and simulated (thin) FTIR transmission through Sn-doped  $Ga_2O_3$  sample annealed at 1000 °C for the indicated times assuming a particular combination of fast-but-limited and slow compensating defect introduction process.

Case 1.

Paper BG-TuP-5, Room Bansal Atrium, 5:15 PM







Case 2.



Figure is the process monitoring screen. Case 1. If both of the temperature gradients in the diameter and thickness direction are large, it grows into a thin single crystal only in one to two slits. Case 2. Polycrystals occurred with excessively fast growth in one slit, if the temperature gradient in the diameter direction is large but the temperature gradient in the thickness direction is small. Case 3. Polycrystals occurred if the temperature gradient in the diameter direction is small but the temperature gradient in the thickness direction is large. Case 4. Stable and thick single crystal had grown, when both of the temperature gradients in the diameter and thickness directions are small.



Figure 1. Cross-sectional schematic of the  $\beta\text{-}Ga_2O_3$  MOSFET.



Figure 2. (a) DC output characteristics of a  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MOSFET at 30 °C and 654 °C. (b) DC transfer characteristics of a  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MOSFET from 30 °C to 654 °C.



Figure 3. DC transfer characteristics of four different  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MOSFETs operating with a base temperature of 654 °C. The left axis shows the drain-source current density (I<sub>ds</sub>) and the right axis shows the gate current density (I<sub>g</sub>).

Band Diagram Ga2O3/Diamond



#### **GOX 2023** 6th U.S. Workshop on Gallium Oxide (GOX 2023) **Heterogeneous Material Integration** Mirchandani<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Syrnatec Inc., 95 Pond PL, Middletown, Connecticut 06457-8736, United States



Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MOSFET

Total Ionizing Dose	Atomic change in lattice: • Carrier concentration altered	Strong bond, reinjection of carriers from Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> interface
And		<ul> <li>Carrier concentration in 2DEG less</li> </ul>
		affected
Displacement Damage	Metal Oxide layer traps charge:	Field Effect Transistor:
	<ul> <li>Threshold voltage changes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No oxide layer to trap charge</li> </ul>
Single Event Effects	SE Gate Rupture (SEGR)	SE Gate Rupture (SEGR)
	<ul> <li>Catastrophic failure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No Catastrophic failure observed</li> </ul>
	SE Burn Out (SEB)	SE Burn Out (SEB)
	<ul> <li>Catastrophic failure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Catastrophic failure</li> </ul>
Conclusion	Bulkier die to meet tolerance levels	Almost no die change, mainly packaging
	Very susceptible to radiation	Very tolerant to radiation
	High FOM: low performance	Low FOM: good performance

Table 1 : Radiation Effects between Ga2O3 and Si MOSFETs

Si MOSFET

Radiation Type



Figure 2: Radiation Modelling



Figure 3: Flow chart of the simulations for TID

Figure 4: Design Analysis Approach



Figure 5: Proposed design



Figure 6: PEBB Experimental Setup for Steady State Thermal Characterization



Figure 7: Package for DC-DC converter



Fig. 1. Device schematics and I-V curves of GaAsP/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Si/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> PN diodes.

Table 1. Summary of the diode characteristics of GaAsP/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Si/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> PN diodes.

	GaAsP/Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> PN Diode	Si/Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> PN Diode	
On/off Ratio	10 <sup>3</sup>	107	
Ideality Factor	1.35	1.13	



Performance and traps of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky barrier diodes with mesa structure

Fig. 1. (a) Current-voltage characteristics of  $Ga_2O_3$  SBD with general and mesa structure. (b) Reverse biased current characteristics of SBDs.



Fig. 2. (a) DLTS spectra of Planar and Mesa SBD. (b) Summary of the trap energy of SBDs from result (a).

#### References

[1] Tarplee, M. C., Madangarli, V. P., Zhang, Q., & Sudarshan, T. S. (2001). Design rules for field plate edge termination in SiC Schottky diodes. IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 48(12), 2659-2664.

[2] Identification and Suppression of Majority Surface States in the Dry-Etched  $\beta$ -Ga2O3. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2022, 13, 7094–7099



Figure 1. X-ray diffraction reciprocal space maps using the (420) reflection in glancing incidence geometry for the (a) wafer sliced rough substrate and (b) chemical mechanical polished substrate. The  $\omega$  and  $\omega$ :2 $\theta$  scanning axes are marked, which correspond to the directions of peak broadening due to lattice tilt and strain, respectively. The wafer sliced substrate has severe lattice damage, which is predominately lattice tilt in character. The diffuse scatter intensity is also skewed, likely indicative of the anisotropic mechanical response to deformation (damage) in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. After polishing, the diffuse scatter intensity is significantly reduce, which corresponds to removal of subsurface damage.



Fig.1 (a) Etch rate as a function of substrate temperature, (b) Etch rate as a function of TEGa flow rate, (c) lateral to vertical etch rate as a function of in-plane orientation.



Fig.2 (a) Schematic of the spoke-wheel structure used to study in-plane etch anisotropy. (b) Tilted SEM image of the etched spoke-wheel pattern. (c) SEM image of (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface after Ga etching.



Fig.3 SEM images of various etched sidewall planes formed on the (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample. The in-plane orientation of the trenches is shown. See Fig.2 (a) and (b) for the schematic of trench orientation.

#### Supplemental Information:



Figure 1: a) Layer structure of the implanted sample. b) IV measurements of alloyed contacts display linear, canonical ohmic behavior. c) TLM extraction of contact resistance gives  $R_c$  of 0.16  $\Omega$ -mm and sheet resistance of 242  $\Omega/_{\Box}$ .



Figure 2: a) Layer structure of non-alloyed contacts to MOCVD grown n+ Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. b) IV measurements display leaky-Schottky behavior. c) TLM extraction of  $R_c$  at 50 mA applied current. The extracted  $R_c$  is 0.31  $\Omega$ -mm, and the  $R_{sh}$  is 55  $\Omega/\Box$ .



Figure 3: a) Layer structure of alloyed contacts to MOCVD grown n+ on n- Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. b) IV measurements show canonical ohmic behavior with current saturation due to thermal effects and source choke. c) Spatially non-uniform contacts make extraction of  $R_c$  by TLM methods impossible.

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge support from the AFOSR Center of Excellence Program FA9550-18-1-0529. This work was performed in part at the Cornell Nanoscale Facility, a NNCI member supported by NSF grant NNCI-2025233.



Figure 1: HAADF TEM image showing the ZnO film as-deposited. As will be seen in later slides, the white line shows the intermixing of the ZnO and Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



Figure 2: HAADF TEM images of the ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> film zooming in on the film (a) and interface with the Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate (b) The color-mapped SAED is shown where red is the ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> film, and green is the Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate. The crystallinity of the film is visible even in the TEM images, and the SAED confirms its semi-concurrent match to the Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate



Figure 3: EDS from the TEM scans of the film as-deposited (a-d) and after annealing (e-h) show the intermixing of the ZnO and Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Even at ALD temperatures, there is some intermixing, and the dark line can be seen as the interface of deposition with some Ga and Zn on either side. After high temperature annealing, the ZnO and Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> have fully mixed to form ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



Figure 4: The large area view (BF-S) (a), interface zoom (HAADF) (b), and color-mapped SAED overla of the ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> film on a (001) Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate show that this technique works for the (001) orientation



Figure 5: The large area view (BF-S) (a), interface zoom (HAADF) (b), and color-mapped SAED overlay of the ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film on a (010) Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate show that this technique works for the (010) orientation



Figure 1. An SEM image of the wagon wheel used in this study following H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> etching.



Figure 2. A line profile of the wagon wheel following H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> etching.



Figure 3. A spoke oriented along the [-100] direction.



Figure 4. A polar plot showing the sidewall angles for spokes.

#### Supplementary information: Electronic band structure and excitons in LiGaO2 and LiGa5O8

Niloufar Dadkhah, Klichchupong Dabsamut, and Walter R. L. Lambrecht Department of Physics Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland OH 44106



Fig. 1 Band structure of LiGa<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> in spinel structure calculated in the QS*GW* $\Gamma$  method.



Fig. 2: Real (red) and imaginary (black) part of function of  $LiGa_5O_8$ , obtained in the Independent Particle Approximation (dashed lines), and the Bethe-Salpeter-Equation approach (solid lines), showing evidence of a strongly bound exciton. The blue dash-dotted line indicates the lowest direct quasiparticle gap.
## Two-Dimensional Analytical Modeling of the Surface Potential of a Double-Gate Vertical Fin-Shaped Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Power Transistor



**Fig 1:** Vertical  $Ga_2O_3$  Device structure used for analytical modeling. The entire unit is divided into three region. The lengths of the depletion region that are created in the source–channel and channel–drain junctions are denoted by  $L_{R1}$  and  $L_{R2}$ , respectively. The drift layer length is denoted as  $L_{R3}$ . The junctions between the source-channel and drainchannel are intended to be abrupt for the sake of simulation.



**Fig 2:** Surface potential of vertical  $Ga_2O_3$ PowerFET. The potential is increased in parabolic manner at the source-channel and channel-drain junction.



**Fig 3:** Surface potential profile along the channel with varying oxide thickness. The potential is decreasing as the oxide thickness  $(t_{ox})$  increases, which causes the gate to eventually lose control of the channel.



**Fig 4**. Surface potential profile along the channel for varying doping concentration. The potential on the source-channel junction increases for the same gate-source voltage as the accumulation of charge carrier beneath the channel rises.

Sample	Specific R <sub>c</sub> (Ω*cm²)	Mobility (cm²/V·s)	R <sub>sh</sub> (Ω/sq.)	N <sub>sh</sub> (cm <sup>-2</sup> )
A (0.3 μm)	1.99 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	71	4082	2.15 × 10 <sup>13</sup>
B (0.5 μm)	1.77 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	115	4513	1.20 × 10 <sup>13</sup>
C (1.0 μm)	2.25 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	116	6585	8.12 × 10 <sup>12</sup>

**Table 1.** Specific contact resistancefrom TLM and mobility, sheetresistance, and sheet carrierconcentration from room temperatureHall measurements on each MOCVDstack.



Fig. 1. Cross-section schematic of the MOCVD structures grown on Fedoped (010)  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates from NCT.



**Fig. 2.** (a) Open gate J-V characteristics and (b) isolation current of each MOCVD sample (A – blue), (B – red), (C – green).

1.012

1.008



epi layer substrate 0 1.004 -1.000 -0.94 0.96 0.98 1.00 W / 0.08500

Fit results:

3rd.

3 layer fits color: layer

top: 10 nm 1019 Si

2<sup>nd</sup>: epi layer uid

substrate

**Fig. 2.** Thermal Conductivity of this work measured by FDTR compared to literature. References: [1] Z. Cheng et al., ACS Appl Mater Interfaces, vol. 12, no. 40, pp. 44943–44951, Oct. 2020. [2] Y. Song et al., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, vol. 13, p. 38490, 2021. [3] Y. Song et al., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, vol. 13, p. 38490, 2021. [4] N. Blumenschein et al., Oxide-Based Materials and Devices IX (2018). [5] Z. Cheng et al., APL Mater, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 031118, Mar. 2019.

**Fig. 3.** Normalized S vs. W plot for 3-layer fit of positron annihilation spectra for the three samples.

H.M., M.L. and J.S.L. gratefully acknowledge postdoctoral funding from the National Research Council, Washington DC. Fabrication equipment and support was provided by the NRL Nanoscience Institute and Dr. Brian Downey (NRL). Agnitron  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> development work was partially supported



FIG. 1. C-V characteristics of vertical Schottky diodes grown on (001) Sn-doped  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates. Schottky contact diameter = 300 µm. (a) Capacitance vs DC voltage for sample counterdoped with 3.8×10<sup>-9</sup> torr Mg flux (black) and unintentionally doped (UID) control sample (red). Inset: Vertical Schottky diode sample structure. (b) Effective carrier concentration vs depletion width for Mg counterdoped and UID control samples. Inset: Current-voltage curves demonstrating reduced reverse leakage current for Mg counterdoped Schottky diode.



FIG. 2. C-V characteristics of lateral Schottky diodes grown on (010) Fe-doped  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates. Schottky contact diameter = 400 µm. (a) Capacitance vs DC voltage for samples counterdoped with 1.0×10<sup>-8</sup> torr Mg flux (black) and Zn flux (blue) and unintentionally doped (UID) control sample (red). Inset: Lateral Schottky diode sample structure. (b) Effective carrier concentration vs apparent depletion width for Mg and Zn counterdoped and UID control samples. Counterdoping reduces the concentration of uncompensated n-type donors and increases the effective depletion width in lateral  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky devices.



Materials Science Center

#### Paper EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, Room Davis Hall 101, 10:15 AM

Fig. 1 – Plot of reported mobilities and carrier concentrations for *in-situ* doping methods of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Results for implant and optimized thermal anneal from this study are shown as blue, red, and yellow dots for MBE  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples at implant concentrations of 5x10<sup>18</sup>, 5x10<sup>19</sup>, and 1x10<sup>20</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively, and green dots for MOCVD  $\beta$ -(Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x=0.09, 0.1, 0.15). Mobilities and carrier concentrations from Si implant with optimized annealing are highly competitive with *in-situ* doping methods, even for AlGO samples.



 MBE, Sn (010) [16] MBE, Sn (010) [46] MBE, Ge (010) [17] MBE, Sn (010) [17] MBE, Sn (001) [51] MBE, Ge (001) [51] MOVPE, Si (010) [21] MOVPE, Sn (010) [21] MOVPE, Sn (100) [49] MOVPE, Sn (100) [20] MOVPE, Si (100) -4° [50] MOVPE, Si (100) -6° [50] MOVPE, Si (010) [52] + LPCVD, Si (010) [22] + LPCVD, UID (010) [47] + LPCVD, Si (001) [22] MISTCVD, Sn (010) [25] A PLD, Si (010) [24] A PLD, Si (010) [25] A PLD, Si (010) [0] MOCVD, UID (010) [48] MOCVD, Sn (100) [18] MOCVD, Si (010) [19] × HVPE, Si (010) [23]



Fig. 2 – (a) RBS/C data showing only partial amorphization of the implant region (red channeling) within the top 200 nm; shown in pink is the expected Ga peak for a fully amorphized 200 nm layer. After annealing, channeling data (green) shows lattice recovery to a near perfect crystal. (b) and (c) show atomic resolution STEM images of the implanted sample showing (b) visible lattice damage and areas of retained crystallinity and (c) fully recovered lattice after annealing at 950 °C for 20 minutes in high purity nitrogen.

Fig. 3 - Plots of (a) sheet resistance, R<sub>s</sub> and (b) carrier concentration  $(cm^{-3}),$ for annealing with controlled amounts (0.25, 2.5, and 25 ppm) of H<sub>2</sub>O in otherwise ultradry, high purity nitrogen. The increase in Rs is associated primarily with a decrease in carrier concentration (blue points). Subsequent annealing (orange points) in dry N<sub>2</sub> shows partial recovery of carrier density and Rs.



#### Recent progress of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> power technology: large-area devices, packaging, and applications

Yuhao Zhang, Virginia Tech, USA

Email: yhzhang@vt.edu



Fig. 1. Schematic of  $Ga_2O_3$  (a) Schottky barrier diodes, (b) junction barrier Schottky diodes, (c) hetero-PN diodes, and (d) MOSFETs that have demonstrated ampere-class performance [1].



Fig. 3. (a) Schematic of the thermal characterization of a junction-side cooled, packaged Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky barrier diode on a water-cooling plate [4]. (b) Photo of a fabricated double-side-cooling packaged Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> diode [5]. (c) Junction-to-ambient thermal resistance as a function of heat transfer coefficient (i.e., representative of external cooling method) for bottom-side-cooled, junction-side-cooled, and double-side-cooled Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> devices [5].



Fig. 2. Summary of (a) breakdown voltage versus forward current trade-off and (b) specific on-resistance versus breakdown voltage trade-off of the reported ampere-class Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> devices [1].



Fig. 4. Turn-ON and turn-OFF waveforms of a (a)  $Ga_2O_3$  diode with NiO junction termination extension [2] and (b) similarly-rated, commercial SiC diode measured using a customized double-pulse test setup for on-wafer device characterizations.





differential Ron,sp in dashed lines.

(cm<sup>2</sup>)



					. A
Device	N <sub>d</sub> -N <sub>A</sub>	С-V Ф <sub>в</sub>	J-V-Τ Φ <sub>Β</sub>	A*	Š
	x10 <sup>16</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>	eV	eV	A/cm <sup>2</sup> -K <sup>2</sup>	ii.
Ni SBD	1.17	1.00	1.22	26.5	ens
Pt SBD	1.34	1.23	1.30	25.7	Õ
Cr SBD	1.65	0.34	0.54	11.6	eni
Ti SBD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	L L
Ni HJD	1.80	0.64	0.76	3.6	ū
Pt HJD	1.83	0.72	0.79	4.5	
Cr HJD	1.58	0.42	0.64	3.5	
ti hjd	1.75	0.34	0.58	5.8	



Figure 6: Reverse bias current behavior for all devices tested versus a) reverse voltage and b) average surface electric field (Ti SBD not shown).



**Figure 2:** (a) J-V showing lower turn-on voltage and SBH for Pt and  $PtO_x/Pt(1.5 \text{ nm})$  SBDs than  $PtO_x$  (b) C-V extracted SBH showing lower SBH for Pt and  $PtO_x/Pt(1.5 \text{ nm})$  than  $PtO_x$  (c) Similar doping profile observed in all SBDs



Distance (µm)

**Figure 3:** Reverse J-V showing (a)  $PtO_x/Pt (1.5 \text{ nm})$  provides substantially lower leakage and higher breakdown voltage compared to Pt SBDs. The ZrO<sub>2</sub> field-plate further improves the breakdown voltage to ~2.34 kV (b) Benchmark plot of on-resistance versus breakdown voltage from this work and other reports. A BFOM of 0.684 GW/cm<sup>2</sup> is achieved with the field plate PtO<sub>x</sub>/Pt (1.5 nm) diodes.

**Figure 4:** (a) Simulated electric field contour plot of the PtO<sub>x</sub>/Pt(1.5 nm) Schottky diode with ZrO<sub>2</sub> dielectric field-plate at voltage V=-2.34 kV. (b) Electric field at the center of the anode through cutline CD shows a punch-through field profile achieved at the breakdown voltage with a maximum value of ~3.25 MV/cm. Electric field at the fieldplate edge along cutline AB reveals that a peak field of 8.86 MV/cm and 8 MV/cm appear in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, respectively, indicating either one or both of them can be the critical locations of breakdown.



**Fig. 1** Optical microscope and cross section graphic depicting each device type: (a) SBD (b)  $TiO_2$  HJD (c) GR SBD (d) GR HJD; and (e) an outline of the fabrication process flow.

**Fig. 2** C-V measurements of an SBD device with extracted carrier concentration vs. depth.



**Fig. 3** (a) Forward J-V response of each device on a linear scale with differentially extracted  $R_{on,sp.}$  (b) Forward J-V response on a logarithmic scale with extracted ideality factors. (c) Reverse bias J-V response and breakdown, with surface electric field at breakdown calculated from C-V derived doping and manufacturer specifications.





**Fig. 4** Temperature dependent J-V response of a representative (a) SBD device and (b)  $TiO_2$  HJD device. The inset shows Richardson plots used for extracting each junction barrier height according to the classical thermionic emission model.

Fig. 5 The devices from this work (filled points) compared with literature (empty points) using  $BFOM = V_{bk}^{2}/R_{on,sp}$ .



Fig. 1) Demonstration of nickel wet etching down to 1  $\mu$ m.



Fig. 3) Schematic of the Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NiO JBS diode with NiO junction termination extension. Fig. 2) XeF<sub>2</sub> undercut of the amorphous silicon layer for the lift-off process.



Fig. 4) Fully fabricated  $Ga_2O_3$  NiO.



## Abstract References:

- [1] Spencer, J.A., et al. Applied Physics Reviews, 9.1 (2022): 011315 2022
- [2] Lv, Y., et al. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics 36.6 (2020): 6179-6182
- [3] Gong, HH., et al. Applied Physics Letters 118.20 (2021): 202102
- [4] Wang, B., et al. IEEE Electron Device Letters (2022).



Figure 1: Schematic of the device where X varies between 0, 3, and 6 um.



equilibrium.







Figure 6: Spectral response of the device at 305K.



Figure 7: Current vs. wavelength behavior of the device at 305K.







Figure 5: Electric field profile along cutline A-A' from Figure 4. Peak field is at 5 MV/cm.





#### Supplemental Document Author Index



Adams, A.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81 Ahmadi, E.: AC+MD-TuM-12, 48; MD-TuP-7, 70 Alem, N.: MD-TuP-4, 67 Alema, F.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75; EG-MoM-10, 19; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 27; EP-TuP-8, 62; HM-TuP-6, 65; MD-TuP-5, 68 Alvarez, G.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75 Anderson, T.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 82; EP-TuP-8, 62; HM-MoP-1, 43; MD-TuP-4,

67 Arnab, K.: TM-TuM-7, **51** Asel, T.: AC-TuP-6, 59; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77; EG-MoP-6, **38**; EG-MoP-8, 40; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Azizie, K.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 74

Bae, S.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-MoP-5, 33 BAE, S.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Balog, A.: MD-TUP-4, 67 Bhat, A.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 28 Bhattacharyya, A.: AC-MoP-2, 29; DI-MoP-1, 34; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 27; MD+AC+EP-TUA-9, 54 Bhuiyan, A.: EG+BG-TUA-3, **53**; EG-MoM-13, 20; EG-MoP-7, 39; EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 24; MD-MoP-7, 47 Bian, Z.: MD+AC+EP-TUA-11, 56 Brand, W.: MD-TUP-5, 68 Brillson, L.: AC+TM-MoM-4, 16

— C -Callahan, W.: MD-MoP-3, 45 Chabak, K.: HM-TuP-6, 65 Chae, M.: BG-MoP-2, 31 CHAE, M.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Chen, Z.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 28 Cheng, X.: AC-MoP-2, 29 Cheung, C.: HM-TuP-6, 65 Cho, S.: BG-MoP-5, 33 Cho, Y.: EG-MoP-2, 36 Choi, M.: BG-MoP-5, 33 Choi, S.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-TuP-5, 61 CHOI, S.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Chowdhury, S.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-11, 56 Chun, D.: EG-MoP-3, 37; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10, 55; MD+AC+EP-TuA-12, 57; MD-MoP-2, 44 Cooke, J.: AC-MoP-2, 29; MD+AC+EP-TuA-9, 54 Cromer, B.: EG-MoP-2, 36

**D**absamut, K.: TM-TuP-4, 72 Dadkhah, N.: TM-TuP-4, 72 Davidson, L.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26

Davis, R.: EG-MoP-10, 41 DeBoer, S.: TM-TuM-8, 52 Dhara, S.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-12, 23; AC+TM-MoM-4, 16

#### Bold page numbers indicate presenter

Dheenan, A.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-12, **23** 

Dryden, D.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 79; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, **26** Du, Z.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18

#### — E —

Egbo, K.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 76; MD-MoP-3, **45** Eguchi, K.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-13, 58 Ellis, H.: HM-TuP-3, **63** Erdely, D.: MD-TuP-4, 67 Ertekin, E.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-11, 22; TM-TuM-7, 51 Everson, W.: MD-TuP-4, 67

— F —

Farzana, E.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 79; EP+ET+MD-WeM-13, **80** Feldman, A.: BG-MoP-1, 30 Feneberg, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Fornari, R.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Frodason, Y.: TM-TuM-7, 51 Fu, K.: HM-TuP-3, 63

— G — Gahl, J.: TM-TuP-5, 73 Galazka, Z.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Gambin, V.: HM-TuP-6, 65 Gann, K.: AC-TuP-6, 59; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77; MD-TuP-6, 69 Goldhahn, R.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Gong, J.: HM-TuP-6, 65 Gorsak, C.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77; EG-MoM-15, 21; EG-MoP-1, 35; MD-TuP-6, 69 Green, A.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-12, 23; EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 79; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Grundmann, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Gu, T.: BG-MoP-5, 33 Gupta, C.: HM-TuP-6, 65

— H —

Hajizadeh, N.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Hajzus, J.: HM-MoP-1, 43 Halverson, C.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75 Harada, S.: BG-MoP-5, 33 Hartung, C.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Harvey, S.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 76 Heckman, E.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Heinselman, K.: AC-TuP-6, 59; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77 Hendricks, N.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 79; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26; MD-TuP-2, 66 Higashiwaki, M.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 28; MD+AC+EP-TuA-13, 58; MD-MoP-4, 46 High, J.: AC-TuP-8, 60 Hirato, T.: EG-MoP-2, 36

Hobart, K.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 82; EP-TuP-8, 62; HM-MoP-1, 43 Hong, J.: EG-MoP-3, **37**; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10, 55 Hossain, M.: TM-TuP-5, 73 Huang, H.: AC+TM-MoM-4, 16; EG+BG-TuA-3, 53; EG-MoP-7, 39 Hwang, J.: AC+TM-MoM-4, 16; EG+BG-TuA-3, 53; EG-MoP-7, 39

— I —

Ikenoue, T.: EG-MoP-2, **36** Ishiji, K.: BG-MoP-5, 33 Islam, A.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-12, 23; EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 79; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Islam, S.: TM-TuP-5, 73 Isukapati, S.: TM-TuM-8, **52** Itoh, T.: MD-TuP-10, 71

- | -Jacobs, A.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18; EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 82; EP-TuP-8, 62; HM-MoP-1, 43 Jang, H.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-TuP-5, 61; HM-TuP-6, 65 JANG, H.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Jang, S.: TM-TuM-8, 52 Jang, Y.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-TuP-5, 61 JANG, Y.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Janzen, B.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Jena, D.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 74; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77; EG-MoM-15, 21; EG-MoP-2, 36; MD-TuP-6, 69 Jeong, S.: BG-MoP-5, 33 Jeong, W.: BG-MoP-5, 33 Jessen, G.: HM-TuP-6, 65 Jiang, K.: EG-MoP-10, 41 Johar, M.: BG-MoP-1, 30 Joo, N.: EG-MoP-3, 37; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10.55 Jung, Y.: EG-MoP-3, 37; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10, 55; MD+AC+EP-TuA-12, 57; MD-MoP-2, 44; TM-TuM-8, 52

# — K —

Kalarickal, N.: MD-TuP-5, 68 Kang, J.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-MoP-5, 33; BG-TuP-5, 61 KANG, J.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Kang, T.: MD-MoP-2, 44 Kato, T.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Katta, A.: MD-TuP-5, **68** Khan, K.: AC+MD-TuM-12, 48 Kim, D.: HM-TuP-6, 65 Kim, H.: MD-MoP-2, 44 KIM, H.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Kim, M.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 49; MD-TuP-2, **66** Kim, S.: MD-MoP-2, 44 Kim, T.: EG-MoP-3, 37; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10, 55 Kim, Y.: EG-MoP-6, 38; EG-MoP-8, 40 Kitada, T.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-13, 58; MD-MoP-4, 46 Kluth, E.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Koo, S.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 49; MD-TuP-2, 66 Kosanovic, S.: MD-TuP-7, 70 Krishnamoorthy, S.: AC-MoP-2, 29; DI-MoP-1, 34; EP+ET+MD-WeM-13, 80; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 27; MD+AC+EP-TuA-9, 54; MD-TuP-10, 71 Kuball, M.: EG-MoP-14, 42; EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 28 Kumar, S.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 28 Kyoung, S.: MD-MoP-2, 44

— L —

Lambrecht, W.: TM-TuP-4, 72 Lavelle, R.: MD-TuP-4, 67 Lee, C.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-11, 22; EG-MoP-1, 35; TM-TuM-7, 51 Lee, H.: BG-MoP-5, 33 LEE, T.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Lee, W.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-MoP-5, 33; BG-TuP-5, 61 LEE, W.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Leedy, K.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Li, Q.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 49; MD-TuP-2, 66 Liao, M.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75; MD-TuP-4, 67 Liddy, K.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Lin, Q.: HM-TuP-6, 65 Ling, Z.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 27 Lou, M.: AC-MoP-2, 29 Lundh, J.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75; EP-TuP-8, 62 Lyle, L.: BG-MoP-1, 30; MD-TuP-4, 67

Ma, Y.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18; HM-MoP-1, 43 Ma, Z.: HM-TuP-6, 65 Mahadik, N.: MD-TuP-4, 67 Maimon, O.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 49; MD-TuP-2, 66 Marggraf, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Masten, H.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75; EP-TuP-8, 62 Maxfield, I.: TM-TuM-7, 51 Mazumder, B.: EG-MoP-7, 39 Mazzolini, P.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 McCandless, J.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 74; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77; EG-MoM-15, 21 Meißner, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Meng, L.: EG+BG-TuA-3, 53; EG-MoM-13, 20; EG-MoP-7, 39; EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 24; MD-MoP-7, 47 Miesle, A.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81 Min-Ji, C.: BG-TuP-5, 61 Mirchandani, Y.: HM-TuP-5, 64 Mishra, A.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 28 Mishra, U.: MD-TuP-7, 70

-M-

Miyake, M.: EG-MoP-2, 36 Mock, A.: HM-MoP-1, 43 Moneck, M.: EG-MoP-10, 41 Moon, Y.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-MoP-5, 33; BG-TuP-5, 61 MOON, Y.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Morell, B.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81 Moser, N.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25; MD-TuP-2, 66 Mou, S.: EG-MoP-6, 38; EG-MoP-8, 40; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Muller, D.: AC-TuP-6, 59; EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 74; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77

Supplemental Document Author Index

MoM-15, 21; EG-MoP-1, 35; MD-TuP-6, 69 Nakaoka, K.: MD-MoP-4, **46** Nandi, A.: EG-MoP-14, 42 Neal, A.: EG-MoP-6, 38; EG-MoP-8, 40 Ngo, M.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25 Nishinaka, H.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Noesges, B.: AC-TuP-6, 59; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77; EG-MoP-6, 38; EG-MoP-8, **40** 

Onuma, T.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 74 Oshima, T.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Osinsky, A.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75; EG-MoM-10, **19**; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 27; EP-TuP-8, 62; HM-TuP-6, 65; MD-TuP-5, 68

Ouchen, F.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26

— P —

Pandhi, T.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Park, J.: EG-MoP-3, 37; MD+AC+EP-TuA-10, 55 Park, M.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-TuP-5, 61 PARK, M.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Pasayat, S.: HM-TuP-6, 65 Petersen, C.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Peterson, C.: DI-MoP-1, 34; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 27; MD+AC+EP-TuA-9, 54 Pieczulewski, N.: AC-TuP-6, 59; EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 74; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77; MD-TuP-6, 69 Piel, J.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Pookpanratana, S.: AC+MD-TuM-13, 49; MD-TuP-2, 66 Popp, A.: BG-MoP-1, 30 Porter, L.: BG-MoP-1, 30; EG-MoP-10, 41 Potter, M.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18 Protasenko, V.: EG-MoM-15, 21; EG-MoP-2, 36 Provost, G.: BG-MoP-1, 30

Qin, Y.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18

-0-

## -R-

Rajan, S.: AC+DI+HM+TM-MoA-12, 23; AC+TM-MoM-4, 16; EP+ET+MD-WeM-16, 83 Ramdin, D.: AC+TM-MoM-4, **16** Rebollo, S.: MD-TuP-10, **71** Rock, N.: AC-TuP-8, 60 Rodriguez, B.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-9, 54 Roy, S.: DI-MoP-1, **34**; EP+ET+MD-WeM-13, 80; EP+HM+MD-MoA-6, 27; MD+AC+EP-TuA-9, **54** 

# — S —

Sacchi, A.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Saha, C.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 24 Saha, S.: MD-MoP-7, 47 Sanyal, I.: EG-MoP-14, 42 Sarker, J.: EG-MoP-7, 39 Sasaki, K.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18 Sato, S.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-13, 58 Savant, C.: EG-MoP-2, 36 Scarpulla, M.: AC-MoP-2, 29; AC-TuP-8, 60; TM-TuM-7, 51 Schaefer, S.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 76; MD-MoP-3, 45 Schlom, D.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 74; EG-MoM-15, 21 Sensale-Rodriguez, B.: AC-MoP-2, 29 Seo, S.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-TuP-5, 61 SEO, S.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Seong, Y.: BG-TuP-5, 61 Sepelak, N.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Sharma, A.: TM-TuM-6, 50 Sharma, S.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 24 Shin, A.: BG-MoP-5, 33 Shin, Y.: BG-MoP-2, 31; BG-MoP-5, 33 SHIN, Y.: BG-MoP-4, 32 Shuvo, M.: TM-TuP-5, 73 Singisetti, U.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 24; MD-MoP-7, 47; TM-TuM-6, 50 Smith, K.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77; MD-TuP-6, 69 Smith, M.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 28 Snyder, D.: MD-TuP-4, 67 Speck, J.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 79; EP+ET+MD-WeM-13, 80; MD-TuP-10, 71 Spencer, J.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 82; HM-MoP-1, 43 Steele, J.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 74 Sun, K.: MD-TuP-7, 70 Sung, W.: TM-TuM-8, 52 Sung, Y.: BG-MoP-2, 31 SUNG, Y.: BG-MoP-4, 32

— T —

Tadjer, M.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18; EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 82; EP-TuP-8, 62; HM-MoP-1, 43 Tang, J.: EG-MoP-10, **41** Taniguchi, S.: MD-MoP-4, 46 Tellekamp, B.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 76; MD-MoP-3, 45

Supplemental Document Author Index

Thompson, M.: AC-TuP-6, 59; EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77; EG-MoM-15, 21; EG-MoP-2, 36; MD-TuP-6, 69 Tian, Z.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75 Titirsha, T.: TM-TuP-5, **73** Tompa, G.: BG-MoP-1, 30

# — U —

Uren, M.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-7, 28

# -V-

vaidya, A.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 24 Varley, J.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17; TM-TuM-7, 51 Vasudevan, K.: BG-MoP-1, 30 von Wenckstern, H.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17

## -W-

Wagner, M.: AC+TM-MoM-6, 17 Walker, Jr., D.: EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26

#### Supplemental Document Author Index

Wang, B.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 82; HM-MoP-1, 43 Wang, H.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18 Wang, W.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, **81**; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, 25 Wang, Y.: AC-MoP-2, 29 Wang, Z.: MD+AC+EP-TUA-13, 58 Weber, M.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-5, 75 Weiler, M.: EG-MoP-10, 41 Wen, Z.: AC+MD-TUM-12, **48** Williams, J.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-12, 79; EP+ET+MD-WeM-14, 81; EP+HM+MD-MoA-4, **25**; EP+HM+MD-MoA-5, 26 Winchester, A.: AC+MD-TUM-13, 49 Wriedt, N.: EP+ET+MD-WeM-16, **83** 

Xiao, M.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 82; HM-MoP-1, 43 Xing, G.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-8, 77

— X –

Xing, H.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-4, 74; EG-MoM-15, 21; EG-MoP-2, 36; MD-TuP-6, 69

# — Y —

Yang, H.: AC-TuP-8, 60 Yu, D.: EG-MoM-13, 20; MD-MoP-7, 47

# -Z-

Zakutayev, A.: EG+BG+MD-WeM-7, 76; MD-MoP-3, 45 Zeng, K.: MD+AC+EP-TuA-11, **56** Zhang, K.: EG-MoP-7, **39** Zhang, Y.: AC+TM-MoM-8, 18; EP+ET+MD-WeM-10, **78**; EP+ET+MD-WeM-15, 82; HM-MoP-1, 43 Zhao, H.: EG+BG-TuA-3, 53; EG-MoM-13, 20; EG-MoP-7, 39; EP+HM+MD-MoA-3, 24; MD-MoP-7, 47 Zhou, J.: HM-TuP-6, 65